Danske-Estonia and The Corrupt Inquests of Suicided Bankers

The Roles of the NCA, the SFO, the FCA, the ICO,
Jeffrey Epstein, Peter Mandelson, George Osborne, Deutsche Bank,
Baron Thomas and Baron Burnett
in
Russian-Israeli Money Laundering

By Mark Anthony Taylor mark.anthony.taylor@gmail.com

A short guide to modern corruption – how the Epstein paedophile ring links the murder of key witnesses in the LIBOR manipulation scandal to the Lord Chief Justices, who had been organizing a Israeli money laundering scam in the Royal Courts of Justice. We will examine why there are no authentic investigations for any of these crimes.



Photo: Banker <u>David Rossi</u> - two unidentified bystanders left him to die.

Contents

- 1. Jeffrey Epstein and the The Alfred Herrhausen Society 2013 to 2020.
- 2. Alfred Herrhausen Trustees 2013
- 3. 2008 A meeting with arch-oligarchs. Labour and Conservative collusion.
- 4. 2012 The Royal Courts of Justice and an Israeli Money Launderer
- 5. 2013 Danske Estonia, Deutsche Bank
- 6. Deripaska & The Herrhausen Epstein Ring
- 7. German regulators, Epstein and Libor rigging
- 8. The 'suicide' of William Broeksmit suicide note and fears suppressed. Who organized the cover up?
- 9. Deripaska, Baron Burnett, Baron Thomas, Lord Charles Haddon Cave, Elizabeth Truss and David Liddington.
- 10. Deripaska, Epstein and The Office of the Attorney General Geoffrey Cox and Jeremy Wright, Andrew Bailey of the FCA and Lisa Osofsky of the SFO.
- 11. The Treasury Select Committee and the Justice Select Committee. Elizabeth Denham of the ICO.
- 12.Conclusion

I – Jeffrey Epstein and the Alfred Herrhausen Society 2013 to 2020.

Central to our story is one Anshu Jain, CEO of Deutsche Bank from 2012 to 2015. He was forced out of his job as a result of the bank being brought into disrepute for the scope and degree of all the frauds that it had undertaken during his tenure. These included interbank lending rate manipulation (LIBOR/TIBOR), mortgage interest rate rigging, FX manipulation, gold and silver price manipulation, <u>tax evasion</u> and conspiracy with third parties to commit tax evasion.

Perhaps the CEO's greatest crime began with the gathering of seven individuals in 2013. The seven became the trustees of a charity called the *Alfred Herrhausen Society (German – Alfred Herrhausen Gesselshaft)*.

https://www.spiegel.de/spiegel/vorab/deutsche-bank-besetzt-kuratorium-der-herrhausen-gesellschaft-neu-a-910993.html

(Alfred <u>Herrhausen</u> was a former chairman of Deutsche Bank who was killed with a sophisticated shaped charge bomb in 1989. At the time the German terrorist *Baader–Meinhof Gang* took the blame, but in subsequent years analysts have concluded that the bomb was designed by the Stasi, suggesting a State sponsored execution).

I am not aware whether the Herrhausen Society was honest in its conception, or honest today, but in 2013 it's purpose was entirely nefarious, as Jain was orchestrating money laundering for Jeffrey Epstein, money laundering for the Russian Mafia and key members of the Herrhausen society were close friends of Epstein and, as we shall see, were directly involved with Russian oligarchs and their business dealings - the oligarchs on the receiving end of Deutsche Bank's illicit transfers.

The Herrhausen society is ostensibly a lobbying group for German trading interests, which can be confirmed in its publications and by looking at the background of some of its key trustees. The trustees of 2013 are listed in the next page. Subsequently a clean up operation seems to have been undertaken and the <u>current trustees</u> are distinguished by their mediocrity and irrelevance.

II. Alfred Herrhausen Trustees 2013



Anshu Jain, CEO of Deutsche Bank 2012-2015. Jain appears to be personally responsible for Deutsche Bank's money laundering for Jeffrey Epstein, the Danske Estonia operation to launder money for Russian oligarchs, misleading the Bundesbank with fake interbank lending rates and having courts and coroner's inquests corrupted to cover up his frauds and crimes.



Peter Mandelson, frequenter of Little St James – Jeffrey Epstein's Island.

With Mandelson being homosexual it is unlikely he would be invited by Epstein to sexually abuse the girls under his control.

As a British citizen with official capacities in the UK government his role in a German lobbying group might be considered treason. The silence in Parliament regarding his conduct suggests he was Epstein's Blackmail General.



Lynne Forester de Rothschild, who was exposed by Julian Assange and Wikileaks for having funded the Clintons. She was also strongly connected to Epstein's paedophile ring, including Ghislaine Maxwell, but also to that of the Podesta and Alefantis gang. Hillary Clinton was known to have orchestrated the Russian Collusion Hoax. It is highly likely she would have known about Mandelson's meeting in Russia with Oleg Deripaska and Nat Rothschild. Ergo a a classic case of projecting one's sins onto the enemy.



Mathias Döpfner – appears to be head of the media in Germany, with directorships of *Deutsche Bank, Time Warner, Time Warner Music Group, Vodafone, Business Insider* and *Netflix*. Under his tenure *Netflix* were recently indicted by a grand jury for publishing child pornography film *Cuties*. It is notable that *Business Insider* does not cover Mandelson's relationship with Jeffrey Epstein, and the publication - in general - is hostile to attempts to analyse the conspiracies in which its director is involved.



Henrik Enderlein – German government economist and economist for the German Marshall Fund



Craig Kennedy, President of the German Marshall Fund

- if there is any question whether the Herrhausen society is a German lobbying group, then look no further than this man and his role at the executive of the German Marshall Fund.



Anne-Ruth Herkes, German Secretary of State 2012 to Dec 2013. Herkes' invitation of the society, which is an extension to Deutsche Bank could be considered a TFEU 1.01 violation, prohibiting State Aid to private companies.

She is also strongly involved with the Qatari Royal Family who appear to have used blackmail to force Roger Jenkins of Barclays to give them a preferential loan. There is a strong possibility that Jenkins met the Qataris at an Epstein party in New York. DB is now part owned by Qatar.

Whatever the legalities, the Herrhausen society was clearly a vehicle for German national interests.

It is evident that the Herrhausen society, far from being a charity, was deeply involved with the Jeffrey Epstein paedophile ring and counted on the most powerful people in the German State to project German financial interests. Why would any honest charity have anything to do with Peter Mandelson?

III. 2008 - A Meeting Between Arch Oligarchs. Labour and Conservative Collusion.

Now we must roll back the story to 2008. At this time there was a very well publicized set of meetings between Oleg Deripaska, one of the wealthiest of the Russian oligarchs, Peter Mandelson, before his Herrhausen years, and George Osborne. The meeting was hosted by Nathaniel Rothschild, who had been a long time friend of Deripaska, and was on the cusp of taking a large financial interest in RUSAL, the Russian metal business run by Deripaska. Gordon Brown claimed that the meeting was 'above board.' but a lawsuit brought about by Rothschild against the Daily Mail ultimately concluded with the judge agreeing in the Daily Mail's favour that Nathaniel Rothschild was the 'puppet master' in the meeting.

Mandelson at the time was the *President of the Board of Trade* in the UK, and Osborne was *Shadow Chancellor*. Rothschild would later claim that Osborne tried to solicit an illicit donation to the Conservative Party. Osborne went on to obstruct and destroy the US DoJ's investigation into HSBC for laundering money for the Mexican Drugs Cartel. Lately Osborne has been considered for the position of <u>Director General</u> of the BBC.

IV. 2012 An Israeli Money Launderer and The Royal Courts of Justice

Deripaska joined RUSAL after finishing a masters degree in accountancy, and within a year become Director General of the company. This is typical of the life story of Russian oligarchs, rags-to-riches in one or two years. Sure enough Deripaska was backed by a gangster, Michael Cherney. Cherney was part of the Israeli side of the Russian Mafia and now hides in Israel as a fugitive from Interpol.

While in Israel Cherney started a lawsuit against Deripaska suing for his alleged share in RUSAL. Deripaska claimed that Cherney had run a protection racket and extorted money from him. The lawsuit was settled out-of-court in September of 2012, and this would entail the judge's seal – the court's stamp of approval - embossed upon the settlement documents. The Court of Appeal had ample opportunity to prosecute both men, as the lawsuit was clearly a money laundering vehicle to direct money from Deripaska to Cherney and create a legal paper trail for the transaction. At the time Igor Judge was the Lord Chief Justice, so head of the Judiciary. Baron Thomas, who succeeded him, was Vice-President of the Queen's Bench Division - which was the pertinent court in the Cherney lawsuit. Cherney made his money in the 1990s in Russia which is exactly the time period over which the Russian Mafia magically vanished and Russian Oligarchs magically appeared. It appears that Cherney has secretly backed more than one oligarch – including Gad

Zeevi, alongside Deripaska Given the outstanding arrest warrants against Cherney we must conclude that the High Court, Court of Appeal and office of the Lord Chief Justice were all corrupted by Cherney and Deripaska. The correct procedure was to prosecute both men for contempt of court and abuse of process. Nobody appears to have held either Lord Judge nor Baron Thomas responsible for dereliction of duties of office.

V - 2013 Danske Estonia, Deutsche Bank

In 2013 the whistle-blower Howard Wilkinson, an employee for Danske Bank, exposed problems with its audits, including violations in Anti-Money-Laundering laws, to the effect of allowing \$1 million to flow daily from a London company to Danske's Estonia branch, while the same company claimed to have no income and no assets. There were also other reports that the Estonia branch was laundering money for Putin's family and the FSB in 2013 so it appears to have been countenanced by the Russian State. Some of the money laundering may have been to avoid sanctions imposed after the invasion of the Ukraine in 2014, but the operation would have started much earlier than 2013, around 2007, so the purpose would also be for tax and duty avoidance, and to launder illicitly gained money. According to the European Banking Authority neither the regulators from Estonia nor Denmark deserved any criticism. The total sum laundered is probably of the order of \$300 billion and involved Deutsche Bank as key partners for Danske. Deutsche Bank had also been laundering money for the Russians independently, having been fined for it by the FCA in 2016. While \$10 billion was laundered from London to Russian, the FCA could not (or would not) identify a single Russian recipient. The EBA has a history of covering up Deutsche Bank's crimes, being run by ex-BaFin president Elke König. König was head of BaFin at the time Deutsche Bank was investigated for gold and silver rigging. Even though subsequent investigations have identified systematic abuse of the market, BaFin alleged to have discovered <u>nothing</u>. The EBA refuse to investigate Deutsche Bank's gold and silver audits even to the point of stonewalling FOIAs for basic information requests.

VI. Deripaska & The Herrhausen Epstein Ring

Estonia happened to be Deripaska's home turf, and, as one of the wealthiest oligarchs in Russia, would surely have been involved with the Danske operation. It is too much of a coincidence that Lynne Forester de Rothschild and Mandelson were part of the Herrhausen Society while Mandelson and Nathaniel Rothschild were closely connected to RUSAL and Deripaska. LFdeR and Mandelson had both been friends with Jeffrey Epstein and socialized in his circle. Mandelson has been photographed cooking food on Little St James – Epstein's Island. At the very same time Anshu Jain was overseeing money laundering operations for both the Danske branch in Estonia as well as that of Epstein's ring.

It is interesting to see the NY DFS fine Deutsche Bank for money laundering to both Russia and Epstein in the same <u>action</u>. The NY DFS is making it clear – if we can read the signals – that the laundering operations were not distinct and orthogonal activities. We can see from the membership of the Herrhausen Society that it was being used as a front for the Epstein blackmail operation to facilitate the Danske money laundering – to protect Jain in the event of exposure to prosecution.

Epstein was videotaping abuse of young girls in his mansion 9 East 71st Street New York. Next door, 11 East 71st Street New York, was owned by none other than the German Marshal Fund boss Guido Goldman who sold it to Howard Lutnick, CEO of Cantor Fitzgerald. Lutnick gave Anshu Jain the office of President of Cantor Fitzgerald in 2017. The possibility is evident that the German Marshall Fund were employing Epstein to blackmail VIPs, including Prince Andrew, with the effect of crushing prosecutions against their bankers, who we now know where heavily involved with money laundering. This may have been a primary function of the Herrhausen society given the two key members of the German Marshal Fund who were on board.

In any case we thus have the raison d'être for Jain's Alfred Herrhausen picks in 2013. The Danske operation was coming undone, leaks had appeared, and Jain's role in the money laundering were closing in on him. Also at this time Libor manipulation had progressed from <u>conspiracy theory</u> to prosecutions and litigation for conspiracy.

VII. German regulators, Epstein and Libor rigging

In July 2015 BaFin <u>field agents</u> accused Anshu Jain of misleading the Bundesbank with regard to Deutsche Bank's interbank lending rates (LIBOR). The effect was to understate lending rates, so that the bank would not trigger penalities for solvency risks. Consequentially defrauding anyone who could have made money betting that LIBOR defined financial instruments would change in value according to the correct lending rates. Within a week of BaFin's report being leaked, the President of BaFin, Felix Hufeld, <u>exonerated</u> Jain. Hufeld was the man who closed the gold and silver investigation against Deutsche Bank 48 hours after Anshu Jain had received a lawsuit B40BM021 by email suing him and his bank for manipulating the market. (I was the man who sued him). BaFin, under Hufeld, refused to answer my emails demanding an explanation of the <u>irregularities</u> between Deutsche Bank's public and private correspondence regarding its audits.

We can conclude that BaFin and the EBA are both controlled by the German State, using the Epstein blackmail materials to ensure other members of the EU do not probe into their wrongdoings.

VIII. The 'suicide' of William Broeksmit – suicide note and fears suppressed. Who organized the cover up?

Sometimes blackmail is not enough, and witnesses are murdered to conceal a crime, in other cases a key witness, facing the public humiliation of prosecution, will take their own life. In either case, particularly when the culprits have state backing, the directive will be to corrupt the coroner's inquest. This appears to have happened in the curious case of banker William Broeksmit who was <u>found</u> dead at his home in South Kensington in January of 2013.

Broeksmit was an insider of Deutsche Bank, he answered directly to Anshu Jain. It is now known that he feared <u>prosecution</u> for his role in Libor manipulation. He was in a position to have flagged the misrepresentation of lending rates, and failed to do so. This is contrary to what Deutsche Bank had <u>said</u>, "*Bill was not under suspicion of wrongdoing in any matter*." and the particulars of his fears were not revealed to the general public by coroner Fiona Wilcox. Given the very public article by <u>Reuters</u> it is odd that neither she, nor anyone in her office, at the Royal Courts of Justice, in the years that followed, had chastised Deutsche Bank for misrepresenting the truth.

Eventually an alleged suicide note was disclosed, the content is thus:

Anshu.

You were so good to me and I have repaid you with carelessness. I betrayed your trust and hid my horrible nature from you. I can't even begin to fathom the damage I have done. I am eternally sorry and condemned.

Bill

This is an odd note, for one thing, as Broeksmit's last letter, it does not have anything to say to his wife or his son, whom some have claimed he had held much love. Also he appears to be taking the blame for LIBOR rigging upon himself, when Jain was his superior, and it was Jain who was attributed by BaFin field agents for being the man ultimately responsible for misrepresentation of lending rates, not Broeksmit. It should have been Jain who had the most to worry, and Jain who should have taken the blame.

Why did Wilcox sit back and let this letter be hidden from public scrutiny right in the middle of the LIBOR manipulation investigations? Why did she not speak out against Deutsche Bank's misrepresentation of the facts?

It turns out that Wilcox was told to hush up the truth by some of the lawyers for Deutsche Bank. Wilcox and the Judiciary <u>refuse</u> to identify the chain of lawyers responsible for manipulating the inquest. One must assume that the lawyers would be instructed by the most senior of their quarter, which would be <u>Emma Slatter</u> – she was General Counsel for Deutsche Bank at the time. We can presume they would know in advance that Wilcox would follow orders, because, as we saw above, the judiciary was involved with money laundering for Anshu Jain's client, Deripaska. Slatter would have got her permission from the Lord Chief Justice, Baron Thomas.

Any kind of evidence of audit fraud against Jain would have exposed the entire operation, from Epstein's ring, the Herrhausen society, Deripaska and Danske, through to the corruption of the Royal Courts of Justice at the very top – the Lord Chief Justice, his predecessor and his successor. This is why when Baron Thomas was given litigation papers accusing Jain of <u>audit</u> fraud and of laundering money to the Russian Mafia, that he had to corrupt the verdict in the lawsuit. The trail led to him and Tonya Wilcox and the inquest for Broeksmit.

Such litigation papers were sent from me, in a very public email with dozens of recipients in 2016. I had received correspondence from Deutsche Bank in July of 2014 that directly contradicted what Reuters had said about the states of the bullion audits in June of 2014. I had accused Jain of rigging bullion audits at the end of 2014, in a lawsuit B40BM021. Emma Slatter was General Counsel of Deutsche Bank and acted directly in the defence, first slamming the claims of gold and silver price manipulation and 'fanciful conspiracy theory' and then telling the court that neither she nor Jain would turn up for their own oral hearing. An applicant of an oral hearing who refuses to turn up for it is normally subject to summary judgement and a restraining order. The judge in the lawsuit, Simon Staley Brown QC instead served a restraining order against me, claiming the allegations were 'totally without merit', allowing Deutsche Bank off for 'forgetting' to file the correspondence from Deutsche Bank into the evidence bundle. Evidence that I was not allowed to rely upon. He told me of the omission in the verdict, and it was a six hour hearing.

Brown was clearly corrupt, in fact he was forced out of office by the Court of Appeal, who had found against him three times for discrimination against destitute litigants. He was referred to as the <u>Copy and Paste</u> judge by the Daily Mail. The final appeal verdicts against him directly implied bribery had taken place.

Now we know from the findings of the New York Department of Financial Services – the NY DFS – that Deutsche Bank traders were routinely spoofing metal prices on the COMEX. Exactly as I had alleged. We also know that bullion was shipped to Danske Estonia branches without seals or receipts, explicit money laundering. That would entail serious inventory and accounting fraud – and the devil would be in the audit details. Exactly as I had alleged.

We now see why Jain refused to attend court – the accounts are not in order,

the audits are fake. Deutsche Bank executives lied to Reuters when they said they were auditing themselves in 2014. They lied to me in their correspondence. They lied to the courts, and their co-defendants all let them get away with it. We know all of this because they were manipulating the price of precious metals and shipping bullion to the Russian Mafia in Estonia without the paperwork. They were fined by the FCA for leaving no paper-trail in their dealings with the Russians.

IX. Deripaska, Baron Burnett, Baron Thomas, Lord Charles Haddon Cave, Elizabeth Truss and David Liddington.

The appeal to B40BM021 went two ways, first Judge Brown's edicts were patently corrupt, and so I sought permission at the Court of Appeal to overturn them. These amounted to some twenty points of misconduct. Secondly just after the July 2015 hearing UBS were reported to have confessed to the US Department of Justice for the crimes of precious metal price rigging in return for immunity from prosecution. UBS were a defendant in my lawsuit and refused to admit being served. Their refusal appears in their defence documents. They appear to implicitly believe the authenticity of Deutsche Bank's audits, and the diligence of the CEOs at DB in following them.

In a hearing in September under Lord Charles Haddon Cave, then a High Court judge, he allowed UBS to get away with not having to file any kind of witness statement admitting or denying the confession. Indeed their counsel alleged not to have known whether it was the case. Clearly this was an abominable gift, and only served to protect Jain and Deutsche Bank and UBS from being held accountable for their frauds. UBS did not have to admit or deny perjury in the hearing under Simon Brown.

The Court of Appeal, under Baron Burnett, before he was promoted to the office of Lord Chief Justice, had struck out my application to appeal. So it was never heard. He did so without a transcript of hearing. Under a Data Protection Act request I had demanded to know whether such a transcript existed and made a demand directly to the Information Commission Office – the ICO. The ICO and the Judiciary procrastinated for six months, before admitting that no such transcript existed, so Burnett took it upon himself to dismiss a claim of serious judicial misconduct without any pertinent evidence of what happened in the court room, other than the judge's verdict.

We now know that Burnett was covering for his boss Thomas, who had been

compromised by Jain and Deripaska. The same Thomas, who was in charge of the Royal Courts of Justice at the time Tonya Wilcox covered up Jain's roles in William Broeksmit's 'suicide' and let Deutsche Bank script the inquest.

The conduct of the judges being so blatantly corrupt, and their contempt for Data Protection Act rights, should have disqualified them from office. The Lord Chancellors Elizabeth Truss and David Liddington both knew exactly what happened, but not of them would challenge either Burnett or Thomas, because their own party from Cameron through to May, was accepting gifts from Russian oligarchs. This included donations from Deripaska, exactly what George Osborne had sought in 2008.

X. The Office of the Attorney General - Geoffrey Cox and Jeremy Wright. Andrew Bailey of the FCA and Lisa Osofsky of the SFO. Elizabeth Denham of the ICO.

No far reaching corruption of the judiciary is complete without compromising the prosecutors and the regulators. And this what the Herrhausen society has achieved. The Financial Conduct Authority - the FCA - under Andrew Bailey and the Serious Fraud Office – the SFO - under Lisa Osofsky not only stonewalled any evidence against Deutsche Bank, but also covered up the blackmail of Parliament by Jeffrey Epstein. Epstein had emailed the Treasury Select Committee, sometime in 2015, with a demand that they put his friend Jes Staley in position as CEO for Barclays Bank. The TSC surrendered to Epstein's menaces, but the emails were leaked to Hugo Duncan of the <u>Daily Mail</u> – Parliamentarians, including John Mann and Mark Garnier, went on to mislead the readers into believing Staley would be held accountable. He never was, as was proven by Parliament's response to my <u>FOIA</u>. No Attorney General and no regulator challenges Staley, even when the US media reports that Staley overrode auditors and laundered money for Epstein through to JP Morgan. The claim that Bailey made - that Staley is being investigated by the FCA in Feb of 2020 - is pitifully dishonest, since Bailey had received the evidence from me in 2017 that Epstein had successfully blackmailed Parliament - and Bailey did nothing. Likewise the head of the SFO, Lisa Osofsky, outrightly refuses to challenge either Deutsche Bank or Staley, even as the evidence came through that Anshu Jain had laundered money for Russia and Epstein's gang. It makes a mockery of the SFO's prosecution into Roger Jenkins for fraud during his time at Barclays. Jenkins appears to have been a leading member of the Epstein <u>party crowd</u>. His ex-wife Diana Jenkins, was a close friend of the Clintons. Osofsky was clearly chosen to obstruct any investigation into Russian money laundering and also to give the Epstein blackmail operation freedom to control whatever it willed. It is definitely worth an investigation to discover just how many bankers Epstein had put into power.

Neither Jeremy Wright, not his successor, Geoffrey Cox, would hold either the FCA nor the SFO responsible. Wright was in a position to know Baron Thomas had covered up Deutsche Bank's many frauds, and by blocking an inquiry into the SFO for its conduct regarding the evidence I provided, was instrumental in covering up the truth himself. He would appear with Thomas in the Gina Miller Brexit Judicial Review, standing as counsel representing government. Since Miller's ex-business partner was Deutsche Bank, having being involved in her business SCM, I knew at the outset that the hearing had to be rigged. I disclosed the situation to Justice Etherton and Justice Sales, the two other judges in the judicial review, as well as the President of the Supreme Court, Baron Neuberger and his successor Baroness Hail.

Not one of the members of the Judiciary were in the slightest bit concerned that the defence counsel, the plaintiff and the most senior judge were all involved with the same bank, at a time when the bank was demanding 130 billion Euro <u>slush</u> fund from the EU. Later Hail and Neuberger were exposed as being hardcore Remoaners, with Neuberger's wife being on the receiving end of EU funds.

XI – The Justice Select Committee and the Treasury Select Committee

According to British Law, the FCA and SFO ultimately answer to both the JSC and the TSC respectively. Parliament's rule is sovereign, technically ahead of that of the Judiciary, which was established in the English civil war with the decapitation of King Charles I, who disagreed. The JSC has authority to review the conduct of anyone in judicial office. It often chooses to do so, but will pretend that it does not have the power when it does not suit them. Having received proof that Deutsche Bank rigged its audits, not just from me, but from the world at large, in which multiple settlements and regulator findings against the bank have been established, it still refuses to hold anyone in the judiciary accountable for blatantly counterfactual court orders.

The JSC, chaired by Bob Neil MP, not only protects Baron Burnett and Baron Thomas, it protects itself, for they had received the <u>documents</u> in 2016, years before regulators had confirmed what was shown to them. Parliament it appears is no longer sovereign, it is run by Epstein's paedophile ring which orders it to suppress any of the crimes of Deutsche Bank executives coming to light. There is little hope that Bob Neil or anyone else in the JSC is going to hold Jes Staley accountable.

As part of the proof that Deutsche Bank's bullion audits were fake, I made a

simple request to the bank – to supply me with a bank statement and bullion trading receipts. Every listing on the bank statement of a bullion transfer, for which there were dozens, should have been matched with a trading receipt. This has to be kept forever, since by German law, Deutsche Bank have been investigated for bullion price rigging frauds, so their audit materials never expire and have to be held in perpetuity. They made excuses for 18 months, under the watchful eye of Elizabeth Denham of the ICO, who made every effort to help Deutsche Bank obstruct such a simple request. This was quite patently the ICO covering up Deutsche Bank's audit frauds, as well the crimes of the judiciary. In the end they ultimately refused to hand over bank statements, on the basis it was onerous and consistent with an emption in EU Data Protection Law only the Germans enjoy.

It is telling that Internet review sites give the ICO a 96% <u>disapproval</u> rating (1 star out of 5). The ICO are where people go when big business and government refuse to disclose basic materials, usually to cover up some major fraud. The rating indicates the ICO systematically obstructs its own Data Protection Act rules. The ICO thus serves as a club for big business to persecute smaller players, while their own practices go unchallenged and unpunished. We should compare the <u>reviews</u> for the FCA which are about the same, 97% of people disapproving, and the Financial Ombudsman Service, which has an 89% <u>disapproval</u>. There is a clear pattern of frauds of the powerful going unpunished, with the regulator and appeal boards all rigged from the outset. People are wasting their time with these systems.

XII - Conclusion

A number of senior detectives in the National Crime Agency - NCA – have revealed their investigations have been quashed by high office. Normally the police are meant to have independent powers, but they still must go to the judiciary to get court orders signed. With the Lord Chief Justice's office being compromised by the Russian oligarch cabal it is patent the NCA can never do its job. Lord Chief Justice Burnett is the number one reason why the police cannot act.

In a better age, it would normally excite a journalist to undertake an exposé of these matters, but the newspapers have been commandeered by the very criminals involved. The Financial Times, for example, is edited by Brooke Alison Masters. Ms Masters is married to one John Leo Farry, General Counsel for Deutsche Bank. In 2017 in a court hearing in Birmingham under the judge James Worster, I provided the evidence that Jes Staley had blackmailed Parliament giving the court the FOIA linked in this document. Deutsche Bank applied for and won a restraining order preventing me from further litigation. What they had neglected to tell the court was that they were laundering for Jeffrey Epstein himself – they were as much a part Staley's crimes as Staley and the late Epstein. They were thus protecting themselves from disclosure that would incriminate them.

By 2018 US regulators had fined Deutsche Bank for gold and silver price spoofing. Worster had the court email bounce my applications for a strike out. In 2019 Farry signed off a new restraining order, that was stamped by Worster without a hearing, or any kind of review of the new evidence that had emerged. Farry was thus central to keeping Deutsche Bank's frauds off the legal books. Brooke Alison Masters, as a matter of 'principle' will not cover Deutsche Bank's conduct in these matters, or any other matters, nor Epstein's money laundering, in which her husband was clearly a leading conspirator. It is unlikely she will allow any journalist in the Financial Times to cover the materials that could incarcerate her husband.

19 Oct 2020