Kalamata

Billington Lane

Derrington

Stafford

ST18 9LR

To the Birmingham Mercantile Court

For case B40BM021, Taylor vs Jain et al.

Russian Money laundering sanctions against Oleg Deripaska and an application to strike out restraining order issued on 2nd Feb 2022.

Dear Sirs,

Material has come into my possession that shows the CRO issued on 2nd Feb 2022 is invalid. I was offered an oral hearing to contest the CRO, but material evidence has been discovered that should render the oral hearing superfluous, as we can establish the matters of fact in writing well ahead of the hearing. I still intend to have my day in court should this application fail, and the defendants should make an effort to disclose information pertinent to these materials.

My original court application dates the 17th October 2021, for which the CRO was authored in 29th November, and sealed two months later. The application was merely to serve defendants a Notice to Admit Facts, in which Deutsche Bank's role in corrupting the Court of Appeal was an important issue, because it gave credence to the same bank covering up fraudulent audits in the High Court by bribing judges, starting with former judge Simon Staley Brown QC. It would not have cost defendants significant money to answer the notice, and an honest and virtuous response could have eliminated further legal action. I would thus have censored myself, without the court's intervention, should my suspicions have been misplaced.

At this point in time all I have asked for is the court to serve a Notice to Admit Facts, and for judges not to be unnecessarily obstructive.

In the notice I asked Deutsche Bank to confirm if Oleg Deripaska was on the receiving end of Deutsche Bank's services, as part of the Danske Estonia money laundering operation, which Deutsche Bank had underwritten.

Subsequently, after receiving the restraining order, I discovered a FinCen document, that exposed Deutsche Bank for handling some $11 billion for Oleg Deripaska between 2003 and 2017. The final date is critical. The FCA has fined Deutsche Bank some £163 million for laundering money to the Russians. The document link was sent to the court, but I have not heard a reply.

According to the FCA, they were not able to identify a single recipient of DB money transferred to Russia. The DFS also fined them $425 million for AML violations dated 2012 to 2015. There appears to be no effort from the DFS or the FCA to identify who the recipients were in Russia.

The FinCEN documents show that DB traded $11 billion with Deripaska, while the fines were for $10 billion mirror trades with Russia between 2012 and 2014. Deripaska, according to the FinCEN docs was trading with DB during the period with which it was fined, but also after the period. That is, DB pay the fines, but continued to launder money for Deripaska. The magnitude of Deripaska's dodgy deal alone was more than that in all of the mirror trades combined. The FCA was clearly not working very hard to identify who was on the end of the money laundering operations.

Critical for Deripaska's deals to continue were audits to be ignored or faked. As we saw with Jes Staley and Anshu Jain, regulators accused them of ignoring auditors when trades were flagged as AML violations. In particularly Staley stomped on auditors who warned JP Morgan about his dealings with Jeffrey Epstein.

If the FinCen documents had not been released, and the restraining order allowed to go through, then Deutsche Bank would have got away laundering $11 billion for Deripaska, and the world would not be the wiser. The restraining order was clearly helpful for Deutsche Bank for concealing Deripaska's wealth, just as Simon Staley Brown's restraining order for crucial for JP Morgan, DB, UBS, HSBC and RBS launder bullion and rig bullion prices.

In the fines against JP Morgan for gold rigging we can see they were manipulating the market in between 2008 and August of 2016. I had alleged bullion price manipulation in 2014, and the CRO from Brown's hand dated July 2015. In other words, Brown not only let them get away with rigging the market, but allowed them to continue their efforts for an entire year afterwards. Burnett's court orders date 8 March 2016. Again Burnett's orders allowed JP Morgan to continue manipulating the markets for four months.

The FinCEN disclosures show that I was entirely correct to question DB on trading with Deripaska. I still have copies of the emails sent to the Court of Appeal that show me accusing DB of covering up audit fraud to disguise trade to Russians. They were never addressed.

DB laundered money to Deripaska from 2003 onwards, and the Court of Appeal effectively acted as middle man to pay off Michael Cherney in 2012 using the proceeds of crime.

This is how DB had leverage over Thomas, Burnett, Haddon-Cave, McKenna, Worster and Brown. Now Deripaska is legally declared a money launderer by the British government and we have a paper trail that exposed Deutsche Bank's role. Linklaters, Citigroup and JP Morgan, all profited in Deripaska EN+ IPO as a result of the courts blocking audit materials that would have exposed that they were working for money launderers.

It is time for my CROs to be struck out and for the High Court to stop protecting Deripaska. I presume when Bob Seely MP, exposed that courts were issuing gagging orders on behalf of Russian oligarchs, that the real scandal is not the lawyers involved, but the judges signing off the court orders and the Court of Appeal refusing permission to appeal. The instructions would have come from the office of the Lord Chief Justice.

Yours sincerely,

Mark Anthony Taylor