

Mark Anthony Taylor
Kalamata
Billington Lane
Derrington
Stafford
ST18 9LR
Email: mark.anthony.taylor@gmail.com
26 July 2016

To:
Paul Kernaghan of JACO

Copies To:
Nigel Farage of UKIP
Rt Hon Jeremy Lefroy MP
Rt Hon David Davis MP

Regarding judicial bias against UKIP and Deutsche Bank money laundering et al.

Dear Mr Kernaghan,

Following your personal advice I petitioned Master Bancroft Rimmer to commission a transcript to hearing B40BM021 at public expense. After the Court of Appeal obstructed the request I was forced to send it to her directly to her home address. In searching for that home address I found what I believe to be her home page on www.facebook.com.

In that website she had posted a petition to prosecute **Nigel Farage** of UKIP for what she believed or asserted to be an unlawful BREXIT campaign poster.

The JPEG capture of that website is attached to the email in which this letter was sent.

Whether the poster is unlawful or not, as Master of the Court of Appeal, she should not be acting as both prosecutor and judge. Nigel Farage could rightly show any jury that the Court was institutionally biased against himself as defendant. It seems to me not merely unprofessional conduct, but malfeasance sufficient for her to be dismissed from office.

Given her conduct she could not be considered an impartial judge in any matters of:

Politics
Immigration
Constitutional matters
The EU – since her position is pro-EU.

In the matters of B40BM021 her lack of professionalism was similarly manifest:

I find she returned the petition for the transcript to her unread, and she has anonymously refused to consider it via an email, a copy of which is appended to this document in red. It is not exactly professional conduct for the judge to hide her own position behind that of her secretary. By definition, it is not *responsible* behaviour.

One of the charges I levied against the Lord Chief Justice is that he put the European project

before the Rule of Law. It seems that he has surrounded himself with people of the same bent. Yet another judge stonewalls in the serious matters of judicial misconduct that allowed Deutsche Bank to get away with market rigging and money laundering. In the Particulars of Claim for the lawsuit I accused the ECB of conspiring with Deutsche Bank to manipulate bullion prices on the COMEX. It was never denied by the defendants in their written defence, nor in any of the court hearings. The evidence was public domain material published on independent news websites, the allegations of which have neither been denied by Deutsche Bank nor the ECB. I wonder if that accusation is why the Court of Appeal failed to do the duties of its office and hides its misconduct behind proxies.

I will trust that you will act on this complaint. If not I can forward it on to a number of UKIP members so that they can file it independently.

You can tell Mr Bankcroft Rimmer that I bear her no malice, but repeated acts of unprofessional conduct should naturally disqualify her from office – and that goes for any judge.

Kind regards
Mark Anthony Taylor

Delivered-To: mark.anthony.taylor@gmail.com

Received: by 10.194.73.195 with SMTP id n3csp1578094wjv;
Tue, 26 Jul 2016 03:31:41 -0700 (PDT)

X-Received: by 10.28.174.76 with SMTP id x73mr24263044wme.60.1469529101147;
Tue, 26 Jul 2016 03:31:41 -0700 (PDT)

Return-Path: <civilappeals.registry@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk>

Received: from mail1.bemta3.messagegels.com (mail1.bemta3.messagegels.com.
[195.245.230.163])

by mx.google.com with ESMTPS id m194si28381881wmb.2.2016.07.26.03.31.40
for <mark.anthony.taylor@gmail.com>
(version=TLS1_2 cipher=ECDHE-RSA-AES128-GCM-SHA256 bits=128/128);
Tue, 26 Jul 2016 03:31:41 -0700 (PDT)

Received-SPF: neutral (google.com: 195.245.230.163 is neither permitted nor
denied by best guess record for domain of
civilappeals.registry@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk) client-ip=195.245.230.163;
Authentication-Results: mx.google.com;

spf=neutral (google.com: 195.245.230.163 is neither permitted nor denied
by best guess record for domain of civilappeals.registry@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk)
smtp.mailfrom=civilappeals.registry@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk;
dmarc=fail (p=NONE dis=NONE) header.from=gsi.gov.uk

Return-Path: <civilappeals.registry@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk>

Received: from [85.158.138.179] by server-3.bemta-3.messagegels.com id F3/FF-
23620-C0C37975; Tue, 26 Jul 2016 10:31:40 +0000

X-Brightmail-Tracker: H4sIAAAAAAAAAA+NgFmpkleJIRShJLcpLzFFi42Kxk8y6oMtjMz3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X-Env-Sender: civilappeals.registry@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

X-Msg-Ref: server-14.tower-169.messagegels.com!1469529100!52734721!1

X-Originating-IP: [62.25.106.208]

X-StarScan-Received:

X-StarScan-Version: 8.77; banners=-, -, -

X-VirusChecked: Checked

Received: (qmail 7340 invoked from network); 26 Jul 2016 10:31:40 -0000

Received: from gateway-102.energis.gsi.gov.uk (HELO mailgate.gsi.gov.uk)
(62.25.106.208)

by server-14.tower-169.messagegels.com with DHE-RSA-AES128-SHA encrypted SMTP;
26 Jul 2016 10:31:40 -0000

X-IronPort-AV: E=Sophos;i="5.28,424,1464649200";
d="scan'208,217";a="163356960"

From: Civil Appeals - Registry <civilappeals.registry@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk>

To: "'mark.anthony.taylor@gmail.com'" <mark.anthony.taylor@gmail.com>

Date: Tue, 26 Jul 2016 11:31:39 +0100

Subject: OUR REF: 2016/PI/11389

Thread-Topic: OUR REF: 2016/PI/11389

Thread-Index: AdHnKOK+ehAx6XVkr/++2cUUDvn4jw==

Message-ID:

<7BAA95AEFF72AF4CB33A08F96537CC6463349AC1F1@RECAPMBX1.civilappeals.local>

Accept-Language: en-US, en-GB

Content-Language: en-US

X-MS-Has-Attach:

X-MS-TNEF-Correlator:

acceptlanguage: en-US, en-GB
Content-Type: multipart/alternative;
 boundary="_000_7BAA95AEEF72AF4CB33A08F96537CC6463349AC1F1RECAPMBX1civi_"
MIME-Version: 1.0

--_000_7BAA95AEEF72AF4CB33A08F96537CC6463349AC1F1RECAPMBX1civi_
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Dear Sir/Madam,

The emails have been referred to a Master of Civil Appeals who has asked me to convey to you the following:

“I refer to the email from Mr Taylor dated 21st July 2016. Any correspondence sent to the home address of any member of the Civil Appeals Office will receive neither reply nor acknowledgement.

I understand from the email dated 21st July that Mr Taylor seeks a transcript of a lower court hearing, although whether it is in respect of both or one of the orders from which permission to appeal was refused by Lord Justice Burnett as totally without merit, is not clear.

Either way the Court is seised of no live matter. In those circumstances there is no question of the court obtaining a transcript of a lower court hearing at public expense. “

Yours faithfully,

Aftab Uddin

Room E308
Court of Appeal (Civil Division)
Royal Courts of Justice
Strand
WC2A 2LL
Tel: 020 7947 7784
Fax: 020 7947 6740