

Kalamata
Billington Lane
Derrington
Stafford
ST18 9LR

Email: mark.anthony.taylor@gmail.com

25 Aug 2016

To:

Baron Thomas, Lord Chief Justice
Paul Kernaghan, head of JACO

Copies To:

Elizabeth Truss, Lord Chancellor
Theresa May, Prime Minister
Rt Hon Jeremy Lefroy MP
Sir Bernard Hogan Howe
Members of the US Congress

Lord Neuberger
Lord Mance
Lord Reed
Lord Carnwath
Lord Hodge

Confirmation that largesse from Judges Simon Brown, Charles Haddon Cave and Ian Burnett towards Deutsche Bank's frauds obstructed the bank's prosecution for money laundering.

Dear Sirs,

If you recall my correspondence to you that accused three judges of serious misconduct-misconduct that that led to Deutsche Bank avoiding settlement for silver price rigging for claim B40BM021, a lawsuit we now know to be indisputably meritorious, as a result of the bank's settlement of a New York lawsuit. A copy of DB's settlement letter is attached in this email, and, as you can see, there can be no doubt it serves as a legal confession – as it incriminates collaborators, HSBC and Scotiabank. There can be no doubt it makes a mockery of Ian Burnett's appeal judgement. How can you trust that man in any other court case?

I informed you a few months ago that the Financial Times was leaked a letter from the FCA, and reported that Deutsche Bank were found guilty of money laundering control violations, and had destroyed materials to cover up such violations. This corroborated my claims before Simon Brown's hearing that the bank had destroyed Over-The-Counter bullion trading receipts to cover up accountancy fraud, and was an Anti-Money-Laundering (AML) violation. It was an accusation he dismissed with a grunt, and an accusation that no defendant denied. Deutsche Bank was accused of destroying receipts and it would not produce a single receipt to contradict my allegations. I know that it is not normal for a defendant to be able to withhold the claimant's receipts, and not even to admit or deny having them.

Now lately an article from the *New Yorker*:

<http://www.newyorker.com/magazine/2016/08/29/deutsche-banks-10-billion-scandal>,

has done what the FCA and the SFO, and the High Courts failed to do – it exposes the bank for laundering Russian money into the London economy as a way of tax avoidance and bypassing capital controls set by Russia and bypassing sanctions set by the US and Europe. Thus while the FCA would not state the obvious: that materials - destroyed to cover up money laundering control law violations – were in fact - destroyed to cover up money laundering. The game of semantics

fools nobody. The *New Yorker* article exposes that Deutsche Bank did not merely accidentally act for some corrupt client, but set up Russian offices with the very purpose of profiting from breaking laws on both sides of its trades.

There can be no doubt that one cannot set up such offices without the connivance of the CEOs. *Georg Thoma*, a lawyer on the board of Deutsche Bank, referenced in that *New Yorker* article, was dismissed when he accused the executives of collaboration with such fraud. Also in that article is an exposé of a culture of bribery that exists at the bank. As you may remember I accused Deutsche Bank and Anshu Jain of bribing Simon Brown QC to issue a perverse verdict. Now we know that the bank manipulated silver, and their audit cannot have been substantial and virtuous, then the CEOs involved faced a lawsuit in which they faced cross-examination on matters that would incriminate them. I provided paper work to the all courts that showed that the executives were responsible for the audit, and were responsible for duplicitous correspondence regarding that audit. There is no excuse for any judge involved not to have read that correspondence and not to understand that it undermined the credibility of the audit - and thus incriminate the bank for silver manipulation.

With this hindsight, Haddon-Cave's refusal to force UBS to provide a disclosure of its confession to the US DoJ, and Lord Burnett's whitewash, made without a transcript of hearing, not only unjustly protected the bank from its due liabilities, but also kept the fact that one of Deutsche Bank's main sources of income from Russia was money laundering. It relied on the fact that its trades were illicit in order to secure customers. If Simon Brown had done his job then the money laundering violation would have been exposed in the High Court in July 2015, and the Russian operation brought to the public spotlight twelve months sooner. The courts, rather than expose and punish the corrupt, extend the length of time over which frauds are committed, and increased the amount of capital that evaded the taxes and levies due.

I believe Lord Neuberger, Lord Mance, Lord Reed, Lord Carnwath and Lord Hodge are involved in civil claims between HMRC and various banks. For this reason I include them as recipients for this letter. They should be informed that your own courts had assisted banks evade tax liabilities in multiple jurisdictions. If you have not done so already, then you should have dismissed Haddon-Cave and Burnett from office. My appeal result still needs revision, as it stands - it is a testimony to a degenerate, discriminatory and asinine legal system that insults its own rules of procedure. That it stands uncorrected tells us that has been no reform - and that is the responsibility of your office. I remind you once again, that once defendants are found to have lied to the Court of Appeal to pervert the course of justice, then any appeals can be re-opened. Given Deutsche Bank's bare denial, outlawed by the CPR, there should be nothing that stops summary judgement against them.

Attached to this letter is a copy of the header of the email I sent to the defendants when I served the claim on them. As you can see it was penned late on Sunday, close to midnight. I guess it would arrive and be handled at the recipients inbox on the Monday morning. The exact timestamp was Sun, 25 Jan 2015 23:31:52, issued by Google, something I cannot fake, not being a Google employee, and it should be verifiable by looking at the server logs of other recipients of the email. As you can see from this article, <http://www.reuters.com/article/us-fx-investigation-bafin-idUSKBN0L00YF20150127>, within 24 hours, BaFin, the German regulator, were closing their investigations against Deutsche Bank for gold manipulation. The same investigator in that report dismissed claims of FX manipulation by the bank. I hope you know that BaFin have been thoroughly discredited, as Deutsche Bank were distorting FX rates egregiously, and were fined for it, and are being sued for it. It does not take too much imagination to surmise that when Jain and Fitschen of Deutsche Bank read my email that Monday morning that they telephoned their friends in BaFin to put out a report in a newspaper for the Tuesday afternoon. Any serious investigator should be looking at their phone logs for the Monday morning.

Deutsche Bank also denied FX manipulation in their correspondence before Simon Brown's hearing. We are to believe that HSBC, who were also rigging FX, as part of the cartel, trusted that Deutsche Bank would not manipulate the precious metal markets when it knew that Deutsche Bank had to be lying about not rigging FX rates. There can be no question that HSBC applied for a restraining order against me, which effectively denies a fraud Deutsche Bank have admitted in New York they both conspired to perpetrate. I have been chasing the CRO up with Judge McKenna of the Birmingham Mercantile Court who has spent six weeks evading the issue. I suggest you instruct him to do his duty or quit office.

Kind regards
Mark Anthony Taylor

Email Header of Claim Sent to Defendants

Received: by 10.170.149.133 with HTTP; Sun, 25 Jan 2015 15:31:52 -0800 (PST)
In-Reply-To:
<CANCCXD4kGDgRD84OCYis1QUWr+w3EYRuXE9SLNufMFaG=btxYQ@mail.gmail.com>
References: <CANCCXD4kGDgRD84OCYis1QUWr+w3EYRuXE9SLNufMFaG=btxYQ@mail.gmail.com>
Date: Sun, 25 Jan 2015 23:31:52 +0000
Delivered-To: mark.anthony.taylor@gmail.com
Message-ID: <CANCCXD6gDvYBTS+8S3LwlqLbwxVZzLcwukJd_15cgtZzJvkofw@mail.gmail.com>
Subject: Fwd: Precious Metal Manipulation lawsuit A07YQ334
From: "TheAbstraction ." <mark.anthony.taylor@gmail.com>
To: klaus.winker@db.com, friederike.borgmann@db.com, klaus.thoma@db.com,
Christoph Blumenthal <christoph.blumenthal@db.com>,
armin.niedermeier@db.com, db.presse@db.com,
anshu.jain@db.com
Content-Type: multipart/mixed; boundary=e89a8ff244c52b4dc9050d826dfc

--e89a8ff244c52b4dc9050d826dfc
Content-Type: multipart/alternative; boundary=e89a8ff244c52b4dc0050d826dfa

--e89a8ff244c52b4dc0050d826dfa
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=UTF-8

This message is directed to the board of Deutsche Bank, and also to Anshu Jain directly as Co-CEO of Deutsche Bank.

----- Forwarded message -----
From: TheAbstraction . <mark.anthony.taylor@gmail.com>
Date: 25 January 2015 at 23:06
Subject: Precious Metal Manipulation lawsuit A07YQ334
To: daniel.chumbley@hsbc.com
Cc: managingdirectoruk@hsbc.com, theabstraction@hotmail.com

Dear Sir,

Please find attached a lawsuit that has been filed against various defendants who are alleged to belong to a Forex and precious metal manipulation cartel. If your name/your bank's name is on the list then you/your bank must read all the attachments and address them, otherwise I will find a default judgement against you/your bank in the next few weeks and you stand to lose significant money.

The e-mail credentials in this message may be used as evidence to demonstrate that the claim was served. The fact that the defendants will need to communicate to each other to discuss their individual liabilities ensures that no single defendant can plausibly deny having been served the documents. If any single defendant refuses to recognize that it has been served in its reply to communications from the other defendants then the other defendants should contact me by email as soon as possible to ensure that the claim documents are served.

This e-mail will be forwarded to all defendants, where a functional e-mail address can be found. In the case that a bank does not divulge e-mail addresses, it may be sent to a number of parties at a given bank, and so each recipient should address the board with its copy to ensure no duplicate/conflicting responses are made in each party.

I will attempt to serve the claim and its details to all the defendants in the next week.

The defendants are:

1.

Anshu Jain, Co-CEO of Deutsche Bank- 1 Great Winchester Street, EC2N 2DB, LONDON

2.

Deutsche Bank AG - 1 Great Winchester Street, EC2N 2DB, LONDON

3.

HSBC Plc, Retail Unit 8 Canary Wharf, Canada Place, London E14 5AH

4.

Barclays Bank plc.1 Churchill Place, Canary Wharf, London, E14 5HP

5.

UBS AG, 1 Finsbury Avenue, London, EC2M 2PP

6.

JP Morgan Chase. 25 Bank Street, London, E14 5JP

7.

Citigroup, 25 Canada Square, Canary Wharf, London E14 5LB

8.

Royal Bank of Scotland Group Plc, Head Office, 250 Bishopsgate, London, EC2M 3AA

Yours sincerely,
Mark Anthony Taylor