Kalamata
Billington Lane
Derrington
Stafford
ST18 9LR

Email: mark.anthony.taylor@gmail.com

21 September 2016

To:

Andrew Bailey, Chief of the FCA Prime Minister Theresa May Rt Hon Jeremy Wright MP David Green, Chief of the SFO Sir Edward Leigh MP Lynne Owens, Chief of the NCA

Rt Hon Andrew Tyrie MP – Chairman of HoC Treasury Committee

Rt Hon Steve Baker MP – HoC Treasury Committee

Rt Hon Mark Garnier MP – HoC Treasury Committee

Rt Hon Helen Goodman MP – HoC Treasury Committee

Rt Hon Stephen Hammon MP – HoC Treasury Committee

Rt Hon George Kerevan MP – HoC Treasury Committee

Rt Hon John Mann MP – HoC Treasury Committee

Rt Hon Chris Phillip MP – HoC Treasury Committee

Rt Hon Jacob Rees Mogg MP – HoC Treasury Committee

Rt Hon Rachel Reeves MP – HoC Treasury Committee

Rt Hon Wes Streeting MP – HoC Treasury Committee

#### Copies To:

Sir Bernard Hogan Howe Lord Chancellor Elizabeth Truss Rt Hon Jeremy Lefroy MP And others

# How the FCA and the SFO covered up Deutsche Bank's gold manipulation, money laundering & conspiracy to pervert the course of justice

Dear Sirs,

With the recent disclosures from the *New Yorker* magazine,

http://www.newyorker.com/magazine/2016/08/29/deutsche-banks-10-billion-scandal, that exposed Deutsche Bank's \$10 billion money laundering operation between Russia and London, we now have the context to review the conduct of the FCA and the SFO in their related duties and investigations. I hope to prove here that both agencies neglected their responsibilities to the point of being complicit in Deutsche Bank's bribery of judges in the Mercantile Court and the Court of Appeal. As you can see in the news article the money laundering went on during 2015, which we shall see below is when the FCA and SFO had enough information to prosecute the bank. I shall also show both agencies had enough information in 2014 to prove the bank was guilty of precious metal price rigging - rigging we now know to be the case following a settlement in New York.

#### The FCA' secret findings against Deutsche Bank for money laundering

The FCA understood that Deutsche Bank (DB) has violated Anti-Money-Laundering laws (AML), as exposed by the content of the letter leaked by the Financial Times on the 1<sup>st</sup> May 2016. The URL of the article is <a href="https://www.ft.com/content/3be2e2be-0e27-11e6-b41f-0beb7e589515">https://www.ft.com/content/3be2e2be-0e27-11e6-b41f-0beb7e589515</a>.

The FCA had been informed by me in April 2016, in the form of an email (appendix VII) asking them to clarify some of the material facts alleged in lawsuit BM40BM021. I had accused defendants of destroying receipts to cover up accountancy fraud in the *Common Elements to the Replies to the Defendants*. There was no denial from any of the eight defendants in court and their can be no doubt that they knew how serious the allegations were. Destruction of receipts constitutes tax evasion and AML violations at the very least. The FT reported that the FCA had found Deutsche Bank guilty of destroying materials to cover up AML violations – so that can be no doubt that my allegations lead to a paper trail that is pertinent to the FCA's findings.

### The FCA and the SFO were informed by me that Deutsche Bank's gold manipulation audit was fake.

On the 19 June 2014, Reuters published an article, in which DB claimed to be auditing itself for gold price manipulation. In a reply to the Notice to Admit Facts No 1 from me DB claimed the audit was still ongoing. (Attached to the email in which document was delivered). DB's reply was sent to the courts, as part of their defence, and so is an act of perjury, or contempt of court, if dishonest. Emma Slatter of Deutsche Bank, acting for Anshu Jain, was responsible for that submission, along with the submission of the defence materials which denied gold and silver price manipulation. Emma Slatter is a lawyer who works for Deutsche Bank and she has enough authority within the bank to order its press office how to respond to queries of the allegations from third parties. This is proven by materials accidentally disclosed by the defence in the lawsuit BM40BM021. There can be doubt she had the power to identify whether or not the audit was substantial. At the time of that notice DB's website corroborated their defence in the High Court, asserting that the audit was still underway. Since the New York lawsuit, 14-md-2548, U.S. District Court, Southern District of New York, in which DB settled the allegations of silver and gold manipulation, incriminating HSBC and Scotiabank, all references to that audit have been deleted from DB's website. The original Reuters article does not appear to name any authority for that audit. This vindicates my allegation that the audit was no more than a piece of Reuters spin, when DB were under public scrutiny for gold manipulation by BaFin, the German regulator, and the audit is nothing more substantial. Any member of DB responsible for faking that audit would be directly incriminated in precious metal price manipulation. Again, both the FCA and the SFO were notified by me that the audit was fake, the emails detailing the accusations dating back to July of 2014.

### The FCA and the SFO knew that Deutsche Bank had misled the courts resulting in perverse court orders.

Judge Simon Brown QC, Lord Charles Haddon-Cave and Lord Ian Burnett all issued court orders to the effect that the accusations of money laundering were totally without merit. The FCA were furnished with these results in the attachments to the email in appendix VII in which the judges were accused by me of taking bribes from Deutsche Bank. Deutsche Bank were secretly found guilty of money laundering violations by the FCA during the course of actions to have the court orders revoked. Their secrecy undermined my lawful and just efforts to have the court orders revoked - lawsuit BM40BM021 was never 'totally without merit' and that the judges verdicts were perverse and corrupt – and the FCA knows that.

With HSBC being incriminated by Deutsche Bank's settlement, for conspiracy to rig

precious metal prices which directly contradicts its defence documents which claimed to have supported Deutsche Bank's bare denial, we can see that there is no questions that the court orders for lawsuit BM40BM021 were entirely perverse, corrupt, materially counter-factual and won by dishonest and fraudulent defendants who served demonstrably fallacious documents to the Mercantile Court and Court of Appeal by email. The twenty points of misconduct alleged against Simon Brown for Human Rights violations in the appeal notice are vindicated. The demand to recuse Haddon-Cave for failing to force UBS to submit witness statements or disclose its confession of silver price rigging to the US DoJ is vindicated. The accusations of whitewash against Lord Burnett are vindicated – the man professed to judge twenty instances of misconduct without a transcript of hearing. The failure of the Lord Chief Justice to comply with the Data Protection Act on five occasions to assert whether the transcript of hearing existed is understood as a conspiracy to pervert the course of justice. That the investigation of the FCA into Deutsche Bank's money laundering was a secret is understandable, but that the fine was kept as a secret can also be explained as a political favour to Anshu Jain, former CEO of DB, who had to have committed multiple counts of perjury and bribery to effect the perverse verdicts. Does anyone seriously think that Deutsche Bank could run a \$10 billion money laundering racket to London without the CEOs knowing? Is it any wonder he refused to appear in the court hearing for which he applied? Depending on the period over which DB were investigated if the FCA published its findings for money laundering against Deutsche Bank directly, then the appeal documents delivered to the Court of Appeal could have referred to the findings. Judge Ian Burnett would thereby not so easily been glib in whitewashing DB's failure to supply receipts to the Mercantile Court, or ignored the fact that DB even refused to admit or deny trading with me.

There is no reason with all these latest discoveries why the appeal is not re-opened or the restraining order against me annulled.

Had Deutsche Bank successfully dismissed the New York action against them, in their petition to treat it as a nuisance lawsuit, and had the Financial Times not disclosed the secret FCA communique, we would all now be in ignorance of Deutsche Bank's frauds – thanks to the malfeasance of our authorities.

#### **David Bailey of the FCA misled the Commons Treasury Committee**

In July 2014 David Bailey, former head of the FCA told the Commons Treasury Committee said that there had been no evidence that banks were manipulating the price of gold. In September 2014 I sent an email to the FCA exposing Deutsche Bank's fake audit (Appendix III). This came after a similar letter to the SFO on 24 July 2014 that provided the same evidence. I would have thought it their duty to investigate such accusations, and keep the Committee updated. Given that the FCA appears to have done nothing with the allegations, the possibility that Bailey was bribed by DB to keep the status of the audit secret has to be considered. The FCA and the SFO failings to scrutinize DB's professed audit implicate every successive head of the organizations. With George Osborne having undermined the US DoJ prosecution against the HSBC for money laundering, then it becomes obvious the bribery is institutional – one does not become a head of the FCA without the intent to hold one's duties in office in contempt.

With the New York result, why has BaFin, the FCA and the SFO not re-opened the investigation against Deutsche Bank and HSBC. How can the previous investigators be deemed successful and honest when the same bank is disclosing materials to the New York claimants that incriminate it along with Scotiabank and HSBC. Do the civil courts have more power to force disclosure than the regulators? The answer to all questions is obvious and the conclusion is that the FCA and the SFO conspire with the bank to rig the markets. Regulator findings exist to mitigate legal damages, not to expose banks for frauds. Just as Lord Haddon-Cave allowed UBS to get away

with non-disclosure of its confession to the US DoJ, the regulators have followed suit and allowed banks to manipulate the markets with impunity. One wonders how many people have lost their homes under the verdicts of such as Lord Ian Burnett, when such as Lord Ian Burnett conspired to have allowed the banks to suppress the price of jewellery, that could otherwise have been sold to pay off the mortgage.

There can be no mistake that bullion manipulation is a financial crime that greatly profits an elite at the cost of the retirement plans of the masses. It is a fraud of the scale that demands the full life sentence for all those incriminated for *misconduct in public office*.

#### How can the FCA make amends?

There is absolutely no reason why any law enforcer with the power does not use that power to force Deutsche Bank to disclose the authority behind its so-called audit, and make the names public. Should that power be used and the audit proven to be fraudulent, then without doubt, Emma Slatter of Deutsche Bank, along with the former executives and the current executive John Cryan, personally covered up market manipulation fraud, but also perverted the course of justice in at least two court hearings. Their defence submitted to the courts – the bare denial - has proven to be entirely counter-factual, and it asserts an audit that no rational man would assign any credibility. It is evident that the only reason the power to investigate is not used is to protect a corrupt judiciary and corrupt law enforcers.

#### How the Commons Select Committee should act.

If their interest in gold manipulation was ever honest I would expect the committee to take an responsibility in these affairs. Not only to determine whether Deutsche Bank and its executives used the Greek bailout money to rig the precious metal markets, but also to scrutinize the conduct of the FCA and the SFO. The evidence of the fake audit can be studied forensically by the committee, as much as anyone else, and with DB's settlement in New York, then they could just as well cross-examine the CEO and the former CEOs of DB in these issues alleged. There is no good reason why the committee should not know the name of the man responsible for the audit, or know where the audit stands now, given that DB has settled and incriminated co-defendants.

Yours sincerely

#### Page 5 of 16

#### Appendix 1

## Copy of email sent to BaFin on 11 July 2014 - Proving BaFin was disclosed allegations that Deutsche Bank's audit was fake

MIME-Version: 1.0

Received: by 10.194.29.104 with HTTP; Fri, 11 Jul 2014 07:28:24 -0700 (PDT)

In-Reply-To: <CANCCXD6eWu404=TWo=TRNaidjnmVZXv-</pre>

6xdpRCY kMj+1YQQvw@mail.gmail.com>

References: <E61DAFB9BF79314CB2E4580CD9C789F41EC7CF8F@BAFWNA02.office.dir> <CANCCXD6eWu404=TWo=TRNaidjnmVZXv-6xdpRCY kMj+1YQQvw@mail.gmail.com>

Date: Fri, 11 Jul 2014 16:28:24 +0200 Delivered-To: mark.anthony.taylor@gmail.com

Message-ID: <CANCCXD5WW srquz9M2ZNy2cw4t-PspNtSkC8iLFNVmqv+dAoUQ@mail.gmail.com>

Subject: Re: Your mail dated 12.03.2014; WA 24-Qb 4102-2014/0017

From: "TheAbstraction ." <mark.anthony.taylor@gmail.com>

To: Johannes.Reinschmidt@bafin.de

Content-Type: multipart/mixed; boundary=089e0160c49603ad8c04fdebc1f1

--089e0160c49603ad8c04fdebc1f1

Content-Type: multipart/alternative; boundary=089e0160c49603ad8704fdebc1ef

--089e0160c49603ad8704fdebc1ef

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=UTF-8
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Dear Sir,

I have today received an official denial of precious metal price manipulation from Deutsche Bank. Deutsche Bank have previously sent me a letter which claimed that they were investigating my claims for gold price manipulation. They have officially declared an internal probe on the 19<sup>th</sup> of July 2014, or thereabouts. It seems odd that I would get the results of this probe before the general public. I would think that they would first have an internal meeting, declaring the probe to have found no manipulation, the results then published, and then letters sent out to all private claimants confirming their public stance.

I really think the truth is that they lied to me when they said they were investigating my claims, and have spent almost six months sitting on my demands, before sending a reply out that has no basis in any investigation whatsoever. This means their own internal probes have no value, and can be used in any court of Law, criminal or civil, to demonstrate as much. I would like to present you a copy of this letter, and suggest you forward the paradox on to them, and see what kind of explanation they can give.

Regards

Mark Anthony Taylor

513 Hanauer Lanstrasse, 60386 Frankfurt

#### Page 6 of 16

#### Appendix II

## Copy of email sent to SFO on 24 July 2014 - Proving BaFin was disclosed allegations that Deutsche Bank's audit was fake

MIME-Version: 1.0

Received: by 10.182.241.196 with HTTP; Thu, 24 Jul 2014 15:46:00 -0700 (PDT)

Date: Fri, 25 Jul 2014 00:46:00 +0200

Delivered-To: mark.anthony.taylor@gmail.com

Message-ID: <CANCCXD4EBavWvF\_\_ic7OA=5y4BgmggYandN4rS2JNZdH9RsXOQ@mail.gmail.com>

Subject: Some useful evidence regarding gold price/forex manipulation

From: "TheAbstraction ." <mark.anthony.taylor@gmail.com>

To: confidential@sfo.gsi.gov.uk

Content-Type: multipart/mixed; boundary=e89a8ff1c022807fde04fef8383f

--e89a8ff1c022807fde04fef8383f

Content-Type: multipart/alternative; boundary=e89a8ff1c022807fd904fef8383d

--e89a8ff1c022807fd904fef8383d

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=UTF-8

Ηi,

I am Mark Anthony Taylor, of 513 Hanauer Landstrasse, Frankfurt. You can skype me at mark.anthony.taylor.at.gmail.com if ever you want to phone.

I have some evidence regarding gold price manipulation by Deutsche Bank. I have recently sent it off to Bafin, the German regulator, and also notified a German police website, but I fear their nationalist instincts might not be conducive to criminal proceedings.

I read about the possible role of Deutsche Bank in gold price manipulation at the start of the year, so threatened with legal action, as I have bought and sold gold from them in the past. They have from the start of the year, been investigated by Bafin. The investigation is currently in progress.

From the 3rd of February they confirmed in writing, that they were investigating my accusations.

Now on the 19th of June, they publicly confirmed an internal investigation of gold price manipulation.

To this current date, neither Bafin nor Deutsche Bank have confirmed a conclusion of that investigation.

Now the incriminating EVIDENCE is that they sent me a letter to the effect, that they have looked into my case and concluded there is no manipulation. This contradicts their official line of running an internal investigation. It suggests two things. First they lied to me that they looked into their case, and found themselves innocent, and also it undermines their credibility into their public investigation.

It may well be enough to get a court order to enter their offices and copy all paperwork regarding the internal investigation.

Also, I am currently suing the major banks for precious metal price manipulation, which was confirmed to me by the Royal Courts of Justice two weeks ago, and the first deadline for the banks to respond is later today, this Friday, the 25th of July.

The interesting thing, is that I sent off the litigation many weeks ago,

#### Page 7 of 16

and in that case I explained that the banks were forced to an antitrust manipulation of Forex rates as part of the gold price manipulation. Since it has recently been revealed that they are being investigated more thoroughly, it gives weight to my argument explaining why. As the best arguments have the capacity to predict where other models fail.

I attach the accusations included in the litigation for your perusal. I think it may be worthwhile for us both, that if you have strong evidence of criminal activity regarding the gold price, for you to inform the courts on this matter, and perhaps send a detective or two to testify against the banks come the litigation. Once we have one form of legal recourse scoring a victory, such as civil litigation, then criminal prosecutions can refer to its success and vica versa.

These gold manipulators are casting the masses of the population into a hyperinflationary abyss, and they will have caused the great mass of national gold bullion reserves to flee to the Far East. We will be left in Weimar 2.0 without precious metals. These criminals should hang for what they have done.

Regards, Mark Anthony Taylor.

N.B The attachments.

#### Page 8 of 16

#### Appendix III

#### Copy of email sent to FCA and SFO on 4 September 2014 -

Proving the SFO and the FCA had correspondence that contradicted the press release of Deutsche Bank's audit. The attachments including the two letters from Deutsche Bank copied in the evidence bundle filed with this email letter.

MIME-Version: 1.0

Received: by 10.170.43.19 with HTTP; Thu, 4 Sep 2014 09:57:04 -0700 (PDT)

In-Reply-To:

<CANCCXD7df3xutxOSDPSTRO29B2qP+3PEQDh aQARcAY5AJfF+g@mail.gmail.com>

References: <CANCCXD7df3xutxOSDPSTRO29B2qP+3PEQDh aQARcAY5AJfF+g@mail.gmail.com>

Date: Thu, 4 Sep 2014 18:57:04 +0200

Delivered-To: mark.anthony.taylor@gmail.com

Message-ID: <CANCCXD5QTbKvzfKXy24GkeNqGTbBJi44ULuYXkf34OKVRnA zg@mail.gmail.com>

Subject: Re: Regarding precious metal manipulation

From: "TheAbstraction ." <mark.anthony.taylor@gmail.com>

To: klaus.winker@db.com, ronald.weichert@db.com

Cc: friederike.borgmann@db.com, klaus.thoma@db.com,

Christoph Blumenthal <christoph.blumenthal@db.com>,

armin.niedermeier@db.com, db.presse@db.com,

"+Confidential" <confidential@sfo.gsi.gov.uk>, whistle@fca.org.uk Content-Type: multipart/mixed; boundary=20cf303b3fe9f6ede70502403d7f

--20cf303b3fe9f6ede70502403d7f

Content-Type: multipart/alternative; boundary=20cf303b3fe9f6edc90502403d7d

--20cf303b3fe9f6edc90502403d7d

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=UTF-8

To: various directors and managers of Deutsche Bank.

I do not have the home address of your CEO, Juergen Fitschen, so I will deliver the case against him to you, so that you can forward the message on to him. I believe that delivery to the defendant, in the German language, is required by the Amtsgericht. I also enclose the original English version, in case of translation errors.

Since there are criminal accusations in the case, I have CCed the mail to various criminal investigation agencies and regulators for their future reference.

Regards,

#### Page 9 of 16

#### Appendix IV

### Copy of email sent to FCA and SFO on 26 November 2014 – It is a copy of the pre-action protocol served on the defendants. It also stated the evidence of the fake audit.

```
Received: by 10.170.40.79 with HTTP; Wed, 26 Nov 2014 13:23:57 -0800 (PST)
Date: Wed, 26 Nov 2014 21:23:57 +0000
Delivered-To: mark.anthony.taylor@gmail.com
Message-ID: <CANCCXD7XEtF6L16DKy4gRb8-1Wu+sLJfW9rKW1eC5v-SPa3Y7g@mail.gmail.com>
Subject: URGENT! Lawsuit for market manipulation against the banks. Please
 forward on to the relevant party.
From: "TheAbstraction ." <mark.anthony.taylor@gmail.com>
To: cheryl.parker@db.com
Cc: michael.golden@db.com, kathryn.hanes@db.com, daniel.chumbley@hsbc.com,
      managingdirectoruk@hsbc.com, corporate.communications@barclays.com,
      Barclays.IR@barclays.com, newclient.team@barclays.com,
      jennifer.h.kim@jpmchase.com, patricia.a.wexler@jpmorgan.com,
      market.abuse@fca.org.uk, "+Confidential" <confidential@sfo.gsi.gov.uk>
Content-Type: multipart/mixed; boundary=001a11c2b77c3bcdf80508c9a527
--001a11c2b77c3bcdf80508c9a527
Content-Type: multipart/alternative; boundary=001a11c2b77c3bcdeb0508c9a525
--001a11c2b77c3bcdeb0508c9a525
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=UTF-8
Hi all, I am currently progressing a lawsuit for the manipulation of silver
and platinum bullion prices, which includes damages that emerged from forex
manipulation. Particularly incriminating evidence is provided for market
manipulation by Deutsche Bank and its executives
As you can see from the attachment, The defendants are
   Anshu Jain, Co-CEO of Deutsche Bank- 1 Great Winchester Street, EC2N
   2DB, LONDON
   Deutsche Bank AG - 1 Great Winchester Street, EC2N 2DB, LONDON
   HSBC Plc, Retail Unit 8 Canary Wharf, Canada Place, London E14 5AH
   Barclays Bank plc.1 Churchill Place, Canary Wharf, London, E14 5HP
   UBS AG, 1 Finsbury Avenue, London, EC2M 2PP
   JP Morgan Chase. 25 Bank Street, London, E14 5JP
   Citigroup, 25 Canada Square, Canary Wharf, London E14 5LB
   Royal Bank of Scotland Group Plc, Head Office, 250 Bishopsgate, London,
   EC2M 3AA
```

#### Page 10 of 16

In accord with UK litigation Law, I am giving you a chance to settle before bringing this to the High Court. The target banks should shortly get a copy of the lawsuit draft by snail mail. The recipient addresses will be headed by name - Corporate Lawyer Responsible For Anti-Trust Lawsuits.

I will give the responsible parties 7 working days to initiate a settlement, which can be considered out-of-court damages for tax liabilities. If the full damages is paid to me in the very near future, I do not care how the defendants split the repayment between them, just so long as I get my money, otherwise, I refer them to the damage points specified in the lawsuit document.

As a recipient for this litigation claim, it is you duty to forward this on to whoever is responsible at your bank, or else give me a useful email for direct correspondence. Copies of this email, along with the critical evidence of a conspiracy to pervert the course of justice, is sent to the Serious Fraud Office and the FCA. The purpose of which is to demonstrate I have done my lawful duty in informing the relevant investigative agencies of criminal activities and to provide an electronic trail which can demonstrate that this email was sent to the recipients in the CC list.

UBS and RBS are not on this list, as it was hard to get an email from them. They will be notified in a few days.

--

#### Page 11 of 16

#### Appendix V

On 1<sup>st</sup> May 2015 the SFO was informed that German prosecutors are disinterested that Deutsche Bank's audit could be fake. Again the evidence was appended and the SFO had every opportunity to quiz DB itself.

MIME-Version: 1.0

Received: by 10.202.64.195 with HTTP; Fri, 1 May 2015 14:03:16 -0700 (PDT)

Bcc: "+Confidential" <confidential@sfo.gsi.gov.uk>

In-Reply-To:

< 7843 E 783 F C C 6 C 1448 A 4 F 2629 D C A 011 F 66 E 1 F D 20 S R V H J V D A G 1 N 4. justiz.hessen.de>

References:

<7843E783FCC6C1448A4F2629DCA011F66E1FD2@SRVHJVDAG1N4.justiz.hessen.de>

Date: Fri, 1 May 2015 22:03:16 +0100

Delivered-To: mark.anthony.taylor@gmail.com

Message-ID: <CANCCXD5ujqQcc v+T u9ufupJEsMBWmoFdyCJJD2X3A6bM4DpQ@mail.qmail.com>

Subject: Re: 7560 AR 300061/15 Goldmanipulation

From: "TheAbstraction ." <mark.anthony.taylor@gmail.com>

To: Martina.Glatz@sta-frankfurt.justiz.hessen.de

Content-Type: multipart/related; boundary=047d7bd6b7fc8d2d8205150b8a93

--047d7bd6b7fc8d2d8205150b8a93

Content-Type: multipart/alternative; boundary=047d7bd6b7fc8d2d7e05150b8a92

--047d7bd6b7fc8d2d7e05150b8a92

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=UTF-8
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Dear Madam/Sir of the Frankfurt Prosecution service,

It appears your local investigation has not been very deep. Deutsche Bank and others are being investigated by US and Swiss regulators for precious metal price manipulation.

Clearly the BaFin investigation has not convinced the world. If these investigations end up incriminating Deutsche Bank, what will the world think?

http://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2015-02-24/banks-saidto-face-u-s-man=ipulation-probe-over-metals-pricing

http://www.reuters.com/article/2015/02/24/us-swiss-banks-probeidUSKBN0LS1RW=20150224

The FCA reports on Forex manipulation clearly give naked short selling as the definitive means to manipulate prices. Deutsche Bank, along with the other precious metal trading banks have an egregious short position on the COMEX that has gone without explanation. The parallels are clear, the banks are using short suppression to trigger stop loss events on their own client's accounts. This causes a prolonged price depression and transfer of wealth to those outside the cartel to those inside it.

Deutsche Bank's failure to explain or even admit why it sent me correspondence denying price manipulation while under investigation by BaFin for such, and while claiming that it was investigating itself for such, shows it had established the conclusion of innocence before finishing the audit. Its gold manipulation audit was therefore fake and the proof is in the correspondence. The only reason to fake the audit was to pervert BaFin's investigation because Deutsche Bank's board are instrumental in the fraud. BaFin's reluctance to communicate to me indicates it may be an active participant in the fraud. With Deutsche Bank having been found

#### Page 12 of 16

guilty of misleading Dubai and British regulators with regards to their investigations show that it has no credibility. That its CEO is on trial for fraud and perjury show that its board has no credibility. In my litigation against Deutsche Bank is is becoming apparent that Deutsche Bank are committing some kind of accounting fraud with regard to their own over-the-counter precious metal trade in the local branches, perhaps having destroyed its trading receipts to make quantification of liabilities more difficult.

In my opinion the Frankfurt court system is deeply compromised by Deutsche Bank's money. A key route to malign influence is through Commo Purpose which is funded by the Forex/Libor manipulation cartel, as can be seen on the website www.commonpurpose.de, and the recipients include the Frankfurt Chief of police. This is clearly conflict of interests when the banks have been found guilty of fraud. In my opinion BaFin, Deutsche Bank and the Frankfurt authorities are conspiring to commit anti-competitive practices as prohibited by TFEU 107.1.

Regards Mark Anthony Taylor

#### Page 13 of 16

#### Appendix VI

On  $2^{\rm nd}$  May 2016 the SFO and the FCA were informed that the FCA's secret fine against DB implicated judges for conspiracy to hide DB's money laundering. The FCA does not appear to have furnished anyone involved with any kind of explanation.

Received: by 10.194.134.167 with HTTP; Mon, 2 May 2016 02:11:11 -0700 (PDT) Date: Mon, 2 May 2016 10:11:11 +0100 Delivered-To: mark.anthony.taylor@gmail.com  $\label{lem:message-id} $$ \ensuremath{\texttt{Message-ID:}}$ $$ \ensuremath{\texttt{CANCCXD602cN\_d5nHdK3x\_kB2Cy3LarSG7n+Sw+x-WVUP3n7+0A@mail.gmail.com}$$ $$ $$ \ensuremath{\texttt{Message-ID:}}$ $$ \ensuremath{\texttt{CANCCXD602cN\_d5nHdK3x\_kB2Cy3LarSG7n+Sw+x-WVUP3n7+0A@mail.gmail.com}$$ $$ $$ \ensuremath{\texttt{Message-ID:}}$ $$ \ensuremath{\texttt{CANCCXD602cN\_d5nHdK3x\_kB2Cy3LarSG7n+Sw+x-WVUP3n7+0A@mail.gmail.com}$$ $$ \ensuremath{\texttt{Message-ID:}}$ $$ \ensuremath{\texttt{CANCCXD602cN\_d5nHdK3x\_kB2Cy3LarSG7n+Sw+x-WVUP3n7+0A@mail.gmail.com}$$ $$ \ensuremath{\texttt{Message-ID:}}$ $$ \ensuremath{\texttt{CANCCXD602cN\_d5nHdK3x\_kB2Cy3LarSG7n+Sw+x-WVUP3n7+0A@mail.gmail.com}$$ $$ \ensuremath{\texttt{Message-ID:}}$ $$ \ensuremath{\texttt{CANCCXD602cN\_d5nHdK3x\_kB2Cy3LarSG7n+Sw+x-WVUP3n7+0A@mail.gmail.com}$$ $$ \ensuremath{\texttt{CANCCXD602cN\_d5nHdK3x\_kB2Cy3LarSG7n+Sw+x-WVUP3n7+0A@mail.gmail.com}$$ $$ \ensuremath{\texttt{CANCCXD602cN\_d5nHdK3x\_kB2Cy3LarSG7n+Sw+x-WVUP3n7+0A@mail.gmail.$ Subject: Money laundering at DB From: "TheAbstraction ." <mark.anthony.taylor@gmail.com> To: Civil Appeals - CMSA <civilappeals.cmsA@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk> Cc: market.abuse@fca.org.uk, Amar Patel <APatel@creativepersonnel.co.uk>, headofoffice@jaco.gsi.gov.uk, "+Confidential" <confidential@sfo.gsi.gov.uk>, main.news@ft.com, theabstraction@hotmail.com, caproninysdchambers@nysd.uscourts.gov Content-Type: multipart/mixed; boundary=089e01493bfeaf5d090531d85fe8 --089e01493bfeaf5d090531d85fe8 Content-Type: multipart/alternative; boundary=089e01493bfeaf5d000531d85fe6 --089e01493bfeaf5d000531d85fe6 Content-Type: text/plain; charset=UTF-8

Dear Sir,

Please find attached a letter to the Lord Chief Justice in which I formally inform his office that Deutsche Bank, the defendants in my lawsuit, were assisted by three judges involved in my lawsuit, to avoid exposure for their part of money laundering which is now exposed by the Financial Times in the release of a confidential letter from the FCA.

The Civil Appeals office should forward this message to the Lord Chief Justice.

Regards

--

#### Page 14 of 16

#### Appendix VII

### Letter sent to the FCA on 19 April 2015 demanding investigation into missing receipts and fake audits.

Received: by 10.194.134.167 with HTTP; Tue, 19 Apr 2016 14:26:29 -0700 (PDT) In-Reply-To: <1B0598CCE79F9D4EB81B3F149DB74F904D294A43@sdlexchpw22> References:

Date: Tue, 19 Apr 2016 22:26:29 +0100

Delivered-To: mark.anthony.taylor@gmail.com

Message-ID: <CANCCXD7Zcs8-nqwoByLOR4w9R5c=hYfbNWpizh7FDbVE7sdy7w@mail.gmail.com>

Subject: Re: Claim B40BM021

From: "TheAbstraction ." <mark.anthony.taylor@gmail.com>

To: Whistle <Whistle@fca.org.uk>

Content-Type: multipart/mixed; boundary=047d7b6705d966039c0530dd2115

--047d7b6705d966039c0530dd2115

Content-Type: multipart/related; boundary=047d7b6705d966039b0530dd2114

--047d7b6705d966039b0530dd2114

Content-Type: multipart/alternative; boundary=047d7b6705d96603920530dd2113

--047d7b6705d96603920530dd2113

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=UTF-8
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

To John Dudd, Dear Sir,

I have sent emails to market.abuse@fca.org.uk and whistle@fca.org.uk. I have been sending them since I first started demands to Deutsche Bank for silver price manipulation back in Feb 2014. Every time I received important information I sent a copy to the fca. This is the sort of information your service should be looking out for, given that Dr Elke Konig, the previous president of BaFin estimated the potential liabilities in precious metai price manipulation to be in the hundreds of trillions of USD.

In 2009-2011 I was a buyer of bullion from Deutsche Bank current account. Through ill health I had to quit my job and was forced to sell that bullion to pay for basic expenses in life. I had studied COMEX in 2011 and read that it hiked margin rates in silver contracts just as silver was rallying.

So when in early 2014 BaFin investigated Deutsche Bank for gold price manipulation it seemed to me the game was up. I challenged Deutsche Bank, and received two letters from them, in Feb 2014 and July 2014 indicating an investigation of my claims had started and completed. Then later in the year I read on Reuters 19 June 2014 they were investigating themselves, carrying out some audit.

The email I sent to them, CCed to the FCA and SFO, was sent to almost all senior executives on the German board - so the German board must have arranged for my private 'investigation.' These would be the same people who organized the Reuters 'audit' So why then if the same people are telling me

#### Page 15 of 16

it found nothing, are they tellling the world the audit was onging - and the answer is what the New York confession verfifies - that DB were manipulating PM prices all along, and there never were any audits.

I still have the emails, they were CCed to BaFin and the SFO. I sent the FCA court materials in which DB deny the audits were fake. This is obviously perjury.

I would ask that the FCA force DB to disclose materials of that audit and force DB to cross reference them against the correspondence it sent to me, and also force DB to reveal its receipts of bullion I traded. When I tried to sue them in the German courts, their lawyers denied that some of the receipts had valid signatures. When I ask DB to present its receipts in the British courts they tell me my claim in inadequately particularized, as it lacks receipts. It is entirely possible they have systematically destroyed their OTC receipts in order to make it harder for claimants to prove what was bought and sold in their high street banks.

I attach a zip file of the correspondence from DB to myself that convinces me the audits were insubstantial.

If you still have doubts on the validity of the evidence, then consider that in the Birmingham High Court that DB refused to provide any witnesses willing to testify to the validity of the audit, nor did they provide any evidence, using legal evasion to avoid the question.

In a subsequent hearing, under Judge Haddon-Cave, eight defendants turned up for court without a witness statement between them, and this hearing was my challenge that they had committed perjury, given that UBS, as reported by Bloomberg, had confessed to the DoJ of silver manipulation.

I had demanded Haddon-Cave's recusal because he went on to allow defendants to argue without liability, to argue without signing witness statements.

I will send you a copy of the letter I sent Lord Chief Justice in these matters. That gives names and timestamps by which judicial corruption can be inferred and investigated.

There is a lot of information to process - and I am sorry if these seems burdensome, but it is obvious the High Court and the Court of Appeal has allowed Deutsche Bank to evade liability. It has covered up a fake audit, which implies guilt. It has allowed defendants to get away with bare denials and non-attendance of oral hearings they applied for, these things are meant to lead to summary judgement against the defendant. The Court of Appeal does not even deny that the judges I accused took bribes, even when it was posted publicly to twenty or so prominent individuals and media outlets.

I guess the mail should be handled by market abuse, but their should also be an internal review of why my correspondence has been ignored for two years, and I've had to wait for the US justice system to do what ours has corruptly failed to do.

Yours sincerely Mark Anthony Taylor

#### Page 16 of 16

#### Appendix VIII

### Copy of email Cartels Hotline on 8 Feb 2015. Yet another government quango that showed no interest in market manipulation.

Received: by 10.170.195.4 with HTTP; Sun, 8 Feb 2015 11:28:40 -0800 (PST)

Date: Sun, 8 Feb 2015 19:28:40 +0000

Delivered-To: mark.anthony.taylor@gmail.com

Message-ID: <CANCCXD7Rx gWLGDa+LLgeNp OZ0y2werfP6t-V3+WZaOUEHhgg@mail.gmail.com>

Subject: precious metal price fixing cartel

From: "TheAbstraction ." <mark.anthony.taylor@gmail.com>

To: cartelshotline@cma.gsi.gov.uk

Content-Type: multipart/mixed; boundary=001a11395f6632b93e050e98a948

--001a11395f6632b93e050e98a948

Content-Type: multipart/alternative; boundary=001a11395f6632b938050e98a946

--001a11395f6632b938050e98a946

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=UTF-8
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Hi, I have personal correspondence that indicates Deutsche Bank lied about their internal gold trading audit, which was in response to BaFin's gold manipulation public probe. In the past year Deutsche Bank have offered no explanation to the duplicity, which is incriminating in itself. I attach a copy of the evidence, and also a copy of the particulars of the claim for the lawsuit in which I am trying to bring Deutsche Bank and its cartel to justice.

I gave BaFin a copy of the correspondence about six months ago, and they have made no comment to me to explain the contradictions between Deutsche Bank#s public and private disclosures. This tells me that BaFin's investigation was as superficial as Deutsche Bank's intermal audit and so we can deduce that Deutsche Bank, in opposition to EU anti-competition law, is receiving state aid, in the form of a collusive legal system and a bent regulator.

I have also sent the correspondence to the Serious Fraud Office and the FCA, but have received no indication that they are interested. Is it the case that every regulatory body has been told that banks are allowed to commit fraud and there is no effective rule of Law?

I enclose the Deutsche Bank correspondence and also a copy of the associated lawsuit so yo can see the full analysis.

I believe there is a =C2=A3100,000 reward for exposing cartel fraudsters.

--=20

Mark Anthony Taylor Kalamata, Billington Lane Derrington, Stafford ST18 9LR 01785 248865