

Kalamata
Billington Lane
Derrington
Stafford
ST18 9LR

Email: mark.anthony.taylor@gmail.com
Phone: 01785 248865

To:

Elizabeth Truss, Lord Chancellor

Copies To:

Prime Minister Theresa May
Baron Neuberger, President of the Supreme Court

t

Rt Hon Jeremy Lefroy MP
Rt Hon Jeremy Corbyn MP
Rt Hon Tim Farron MP

**ICO confirms misconduct in office of the Lord Chief Justice and the head of JACO
which helped Deutsche Bank evade justice for money laundering and market manipulation**

Dear Ms Elizabeth Truss,

Today I received an email from the Information Commission Office (ICO) ref **RCC0640158** in which it confirms that Lord Ian Burnett of the Court of Appeal never had a transcript of hearing for the appeals to lawsuit B40BM021. The email from the ICO is appended below this letter. The question of the transcript's existence was put to Baron Thomas, head of the Court of Appeal and Paul Kernaghan of JACO five times, and each stonewalled. This constitutes five violations of the Data Protection Act which had the effect of hiding the fact that Lord Ian Burnett was never in a position to judge judicial misconduct since the appeals constitute allegations of judicial misconduct.

When I am served a restraining order for referring to FCA reports for market manipulation techniques, for referring to the defendants' fines to the regulators, for demanding the attendance of the applicant of an oral hearing against me it was always patent that the High Court was corrupt. We now have indisputable proof that the corruption went to the heads of the legal system.

By stonewalling critical disclosures of what should have been public material Baron Thomas and Paul Kernaghan have obstructed my attempts to re-open the appeal and this has helped Deutsche Bank evade scrutiny for precious metal price manipulation and money laundering, both of which it has subsequently confessed.

I believe that previous fines for the Data Protection Act are of the order of £1,000,000 which is the same value for which I was suing defendants in B40BM021.

I simply ask that you, Elizabeth Truss, enforce the Law and impose the fines for each infringement. I believe I am the rightful recipient of such fines. Also as Lord Chancellor I ask that you advise the Supreme Court to re-open the appeals of its own volition.

Finally, as a result of the ICO's findings I suggests you sack all the judges against whom I have made allegations – the allegations have proven entirely meritorious. JACO and the JCIO appear to be corrupt at every level – the entire departments needs sacking.

I remind the Lord Chancellor that I have challenged the integrity of Deutsche Bank's gold manipulation audit, as published by Reuters on 19 June 2014. Neither the FCA nor the SFO appears to have spent so much as five minutes on the matter, not even when Deutsche Bank incriminates Scotiabank and the HSBC as co-conspirators for precious metal price rigging in its settlement in New York. As you can see from my previous correspondence to the House of Commons Treasury Committee, neither the FCA nor the SFO denied allegations of corruption, nor did they deny the validity of the evidence supplied that led to the inference. The evidence provided a full paper trail for identification of fraudsters who rigged an audit to hide manipulation.

Yours sincerely
Mark Anthony Taylor

Appendix – confirmation from the ICO of the allegations made

12 October 2016

Reference: RCC0640158

Dear Mr Taylor

I am writing further to my email of 13 September 2016 concerning the MOJ.

As you may recall, I asked Ms Ng to write to the MOJ to find out whether a transcript of your hearing exists and if it does why it hasn't been sent to you.

The MOJ has responded confirming that no transcript for the hearing exists, but a transcript for the judgment does and this has been supplied to you.

In view of this, I am satisfied that the MOJ has properly considered your request for information and I therefore uphold Ms Ng's assessment decision.

In this case I do not consider there to be further DPA matters for us to pursue with the MOJ at this point in time. However I would add that individuals are entitled to undertake their own action regardless of our assessment.

Taking your complaint further

A case review is the final stage of the ICO's case handling process. However, I appreciate that you may disagree with our decision and our case review. If you remain dissatisfied the attached leaflet outlines the options available to you.

Yours sincerely

Helen Raftery
Group Manager
Tel: 01625 545543 (Tuesday to Friday)