

Mark Anthony Taylor  
Kalamata  
Billington Lane  
Derrington  
Stafford  
ST18 9LR  
10 July 2016

To:  
Simon Brown QC, Commercial Court Birmingham

With Copies To:  
Charles Haddon-Cave  
Lord Burnett of the Court of Appeal  
Various Members of Parliament  
Financial Conduct Authority  
Serious Fraud Office  
BaFin, Germany  
And Others

With regards to CRO issued in July 16 2015 hearing B40BM021, Taylor vs Jain et al.

Dear Sir,

I believe it is time to revoke the restraining order against me that stops me proceeding with legal action against the defendants for silver price manipulation on these points:

1. Deutsche Bank have settled for silver price manipulation in lawsuit **London Silver Fixing Ltd. Antitrust Litigation, 1:14-md-02573**. As part of the settlement they agreed to identify their co-conspirators – in other words they have admitted to a cartel conspiracy. Deutsche Bank tried (and failed) to have the litigation set aside as a 'nuisance' lawsuit – exactly the strategy they used against me. Their defence submitted in the July hearing dismisses the claim as a conspiracy theory, and they have subsequently admitted to conspiracy.
2. In the hearing I referred to the defendants failure to provide receipts as a possible evidence that defendants were covering up money-laundering fraud. The Financial Times, has, as of late, revealed a leaked FCA document that found Deutsche Bank had laundered money to terrorists and had destroyed the evidence to cover up their liabilities. Reuters recently reported that BaFin has fined Deutsche Bank for money laundering.
3. It was asserted in the Particulars of Claim that Deutsche Bank were suppressing the price of precious metals in order to hide its insolvency issues. The same reason they under-reported the IBOR indices to the Bundesbank. We see a crashing Deutsche Bank share price and DB economists demand a bailout. Well if they are going to get a bailout they can bailout their settlements as well as any other debt.
4. In the hearing you said that it was vexatious to cross-examine Anshu Jain, the applicant to the oral hearing (who failed to turn up), or Emma Slatter, his witness (who also failed to turn up). Had they appeared, and been forced to testify for their defence, they could hardly have pleaded the facts on a substantial and honest audit – because their settlement proves no such audit can exist.
5. Defendants obviously provided a bare denial because they were lying, and their audit

was fake, and they had no means to discredit the evidence I presented in the claim – the evidence you said was missing from the hearing. I append that evidence as an attachment with this email.

6. Your verdict that the claim was entirely without merit is clearly counter-factual.
7. Judge Haddon-Cave's accusations that my set-aside application was scurrilous was counter-factual, and baseless, since he did not have a copy of the transcript of the hearing and was in no position to judge your extraordinary behaviour.
8. Likewise, Lord Burnett's court orders were made without having a copy of the transcript and his orders subsequently have proved to be counter-factual.
9. The four judges involved, including Judge Lorenz of Frankfurt, have all deemed the claim without merit, yet clearly it was meritorious, and if any of you had taken the claim seriously then investors worldwide would have discovered Deutsche Bank's precious metal rigging months ago, thus limiting their losses from then to the day of the settlement in the New York lawsuit. Yours laxity has most certainly cost private investors their pensions.
10. Had any of the British judges taken the claims of money laundering seriously then the FCA and the SFO may have been able to track money laundering to the terrorist operations before those operations were carried out, perhaps the Parisian bombings would have been averted.
11. I would ask that you set-aside the CRO, the strike-out verdict, and all successive court orders, on the basis that they are counter-factual, unjust, based on perjury and against the public interest.
12. Defendants have issued a bare denial, submitted no evidence, refused to attend hearings they applied for, and are clearly guilty. They hindered every effort to force disclosure of materials that would quantify damages, so should be liable for the full damages demanded.
13. Defendants have all libelled me as vexatious, and this has caused me serious mental health problems.
14. Since the pound is dropping like a stone, another prediction in the claim that came true, I demand payment by the method I specified in the Particulars of Claim, as delivery of platinum bullion, evaluated at £25,000 per kg.
15. Please get this done this week – if Deutsche Bank folds before I have a settlement it will make subsequent demands the more serious.

Yours sincerely  
Mark Anthony Taylor

All facts stated in this letter are public knowledge and can be verified with any web browser.