Between

Mark Anthony Taylor The Claimant

And

- 1. Anshu Jain (Co-CEO of Deutsche Bank)
- 2. Deutsche Bank AG
- 3. HSBC Plc
- 4. Barclays Bank Plc
- 5. UBS AG
- 6. JP Morgan Chase
- 7. Citigroup
- 8. Royal Bank Of Scotland Group

The Defendants

Draft / Order

Upon the application of the Claimant, Mark Anthony Taylor, dated 4th March 2015

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

- 1. All defendant's defences are inadmissible in a court of law due to:
 - (a) Failure to comply with CPR regulation 15.2
 - (b) Failure to deny the allegations of crimes made against them.
 - (c) Lack of evidence crucial for disputing the essential points of the allegations made against them.
 - (d) Lack of particularized denials of the arguments in the particulars of claim that led to the computation of the damages.
 - (e) HSBC and UBS have explicitly refused to file a defence.
 - (f) The deadline for defence was 24th Feb 2015 and it has expired without a legally acceptable defence being given by any of the defendants.
 - (g) The contents of the documents that posed as defences are strike-out requests that depend almost entirely on unlawful and prejudicial materials.
- 2. All defendants' demands for strike-out in Summary Judgement are denied because:
 - (a) The allegation of a lack of cause of action was not addressed according to CPR rule 16.5
 - (b) The references to contractual obligations is irrelevant and prejudicial. The claim was for breach of statutory duty and not breach of contract. The relationship between claimant and defendant is that of competitors, not business partners. The breach of statutory duty is violation of prohibition of competition laws.
 - (c) The references to the defendant's political beliefs are prejudicial and inadmissible:
 - 1. They are not lawful grounds for a strike-out, and
 - 2. If a strike-out did occur they would be grounds for incriminating the legal system for discrimination against a claimant's belief system, which is against barristers Code of Conduct, and a breach of article 6 of the European Convention of Human Rights.
- 2. All defendants are collectively liable for the full level of damages demanded by the

claimant: a delivery of goods consisting of 40kg of silver and 40.15kg of platinum bullion, and according to the terms and conditions stated in the Particulars of Claim.

- 3. The defendants are responsible for their own costs.
- 4. The defendants are to pay all court fees for the case.
- 5. An appeal is denied unless:
 - (a) All defendants explicitly deny the crimes alleged and the denial includes a statement of truth signed by all CEOs of the defendant banks.
 - (b) The appeal defence complies with CPR rule 16.5
 - (c) Deutsche Bank explicitly deny that the internal audit, as specified in Particulars of Claim 2d is fake and include a statement of truth to this effect signed by all CEOs of Deutsche Bank.
 - (d) Deutsche Bank provide overwhelming evidence that the audit was genuine, including a paper trail of all personnel involved, and video testimony for each individual involved that the evidence is valid, appropriate and the audit open and honest. The evidence must include a statement of truth signed by all CEOs of Deutsche Bank. Such a document must be in the public domain.
 - (e) All defendants provide graphs of the open interest position on the Comex regarding their precious metal trading to demonstrate that no correlation exists in their trading systems, that is their trading is independent and not obviously collusive. The evidence must include a statement of truth signed by all CEOs of the defendant banks. Such a document must be public domain.