

Kalamata
Billington Lane
Derrington
Stafford
ST18 9LR

Email: mark.anthony.taylor@gmail.com

Phone: 01785 248865

27 November 2016

To:

Data Access and Compliance Unit (10.34)

Freedom of Information Act Request - ref 108561
To Determine
Integrity of Gina Miller's Brexit Lawsuit

Dear Sir/Madam,

I wish for an internal review of request 108561. I make this request on behalf of about 100 politicians, news agencies and other parties who are concerned that the judiciary and the government knew in advance that Gina Miller's Brexit lawsuit was rigged on all sides for the benefit of Deutsche Bank. Deutsche Bank is demanding that the ECB bails it out of its solvency issues that emerged after being fined and sued for numerous counts of market manipulation. As part of the EU DB stands to make tens of billions of pounds sterling from the UK should we procrastinate to invoke Article 50.

I have been accused of using the FOIA request for an ulterior motive – to bring attention to the conduct of the MoJ with regard to my own lawsuit to sue Deutsche Bank for market manipulation. Given that Deutsche Bank confess in New York to what they denied in Birmingham, and incriminating HSBC (Appendix IV), there is no question it corrupted the verdicts in the High Court and the Court of Appeal. The question is not whether the verdicts were perverted, but whether the verdicts were perverted by perjury or bribery. Given bribery, then all officials involved cannot be relied on to give fair judgement in a lawsuit in which Deutsche Bank stands to profit. JACO is discredited, because its head, Paul Kernaghan, fails to do the basic duties of his office, as a probative response to this request should prove.

I refer you to my previous letters which state the basic allegations. A copy is in Appendix III. The request was refused on the basis that it would present a burden to the Ministry of Justice. For most the points I raised this is not the case. The questions put to the MoJ generally do not involve substantial paperwork or the tabulation of statistics. The particulars are below. If any of the points prove a burden in themselves, please provide a reasonable explanation.

1. I asked for validation that the Sir Terence Etherton and Lord Justice Sales had received emails from me with regard to the Brexit lawsuit. A copy of the email is in appendix I, which is signed by Google. A datestamp **Thu, 13 Oct 2016 21:47:29** is part of that header, along with message Id **CANCCXD7ofyV6xj_GLc0YLgTPDvw-uhXwW8qwU8mrGtEFhAGqgA@mail.gmail.com** which will allow you identify my email in the MoJ email system with a quick search – 5 minutes work.
2. In relation to the lawsuit against Deutsche Bank BM40B021 it is a trivial matter to determine whether the Lord Chancellor has granted the Lord Chief Justice immunity for violating the Data Protection Act. She either has - or she has not. It is not something that is likely to slip her mind. You should be able to resolve the matter with a phone call or an

- email to Ms Truss – 2 minutes work.
3. I ask the Ministry of Justice to determine whether or not the Attorney General is responsible for the SFO dropping the rigging and laundering investigation against Deutsche Bank, petitioned by HMRC, with reference number 9559. You should be able to phone or email Mr Wright – 2 minutes work. In the case that this is not the jurisdiction of the MoJ, then the issue should be forwarded on to the relevant authority.
 4. Was Marie Bancroft Rimmer disciplined for her political lobbying? Yes or No. Paul Kernaghan as part of JACO knows the answer. No more than two minutes work. Are judges allowed to act as lobbyists for prosecution while serving as judges now?
 5. A copy of the email sent to the ministry of justice for forwarding to members of the Supreme Court is in appendix II. The datestamp on the email is **Thu, 25 Aug 2016 23:29:06** and the message Id is **CANCCXD5Fi_eL0Hx7sB=TvqdFn7EN3VAg-f00AwPx3_hCM_PksA@mail.gmail.com**. Again it should be about 5 minutes work to determine if it was delivered to Lord Neuberger, Lord Mance, Lord Reed, Lord Carnwath and Lord Hodge.
 6. I have sent the allegations to the Prime Minister personally – the email address is logged by Google and receipt confirmed in my inbox, so there can be doubt she is in the loop. I therefore withdraw the request in paragraph 6 as superfluous.
 7. I asked a simple question, whether the Attorney General was responsible for the CRO application of HSBC to stop me prosecuting them and Deutsche Bank for precious metal price rigging. Is it the case, yes or no, that the Office of the Attorney General has the duty of processing such applications? The answer to this question is no more than a request to discover the MoJ's procedure for handling such applications. The date stamp on the CRO shows that it was filed with the MoJ in advance of lawsuit BM40B021 being submitted to the courts. Mr Wright was Attorney General at the date of application of the restraining order.
 8. Perhaps the most burdensome question, I asked whether Common Purpose had provided any benefits for the judges of the Court of Appeal or Supreme Court. I did not ask for quantification of such benefits. This is pertinent because Common Purpose is funded by many of the banks that have paid fines for both FX and Libor manipulation, including Deutsche Bank. I will particularize the request:
 - a) Did Baron Thomas receive such benefits in the last two years?
 - b) Did Lord Neuberger receive such benefits in the last two years?
 - c) Did Lord Ian Burnett receive such benefits in the last two years?
 9. I have given the Lord Chief Justice and Paul Kernaghan ample opportunity to deny the allegations made against them, and all refused. So we can take it they have answered with their silence. A copy of the evidence sent to JACO is found in Appendix V.

I hope you can see that the MoJ needs to answer these questions to determine whether Gina Miller's lawsuit against early Article 50 invocation was rigged. If the Attorney General and Lord Chief Justice were both conspiring to hide Deutsche Bank's precious metal rigging, then Gina Miller had the means to blackmail them. The issue was raised with the Justice Committee, the Lord Chancellor, the Prime Minister and the Exiting the EU Select Committee, as well as the judges involved. I would think that if any party feels their duty in their court was compromised, or if their integrity is unfairly misrepresented by me – now is the time to say.

The most incriminating behaviour for the MoJ, the ICO, JACO and the government is to ignore this request. In that case any third party is entirely justified to deem every participant in the Gina Miller lawsuit corrupt, and the corruption instigated at the highest levels in office.

Yours sincerely
Mark Anthony Taylor

Appendix I

MIME-Version: 1.0

Received: by 10.176.0.76 with HTTP; Thu, 13 Oct 2016 13:47:29 -0700 (PDT)

Bcc: Paul Williams UKIP Stafford <paulwilliamsukip@aol.com>, taylor.hewes@mail.house.gov, guardian.letters@theguardian.com, observer.letters@observer.co.uk, newseditor@independent.co.uk, dtletters@telegraph.co.uk, dtnews@telegraph.co.uk, market.abuse@fca.org.uk, newseditors@wsj.com, Chris Powell <cpowell@gata.org>, macleod@financeandconomics.org, news@mailonline.co.uk, ean@ft.com, panorama.reply@bbc.co.uk, mail@ukip.org, contact@respectparty.org, Vera Baird <qc@verabaird.com>, pcc@cleveland.pnn.police.uk, Durham PCC Office <enquiries@durham-pcc.gov.uk>, Amar Patel <APatel@creativepersonnel.co.uk>, findasolicitor@lawsociety.org.uk, info@edwardhayes.co.uk, partners@cyklaw.com, docproducers@rttv.ru, ellsbergpress@gmail.com, Rick Falkvinge <rick.falkvinge@piratpartiet.se>, rmcgovern@slschool.org, Gavin MacFadyen <gavin@tcij.org>, jpilger2003@yahoo.co.uk, burnside@vicbar.com.au, enquiries@doughtystreet.co.uk, info@helenakennedy.co.uk, g.robertson@doughtystreet.co.uk, christian.christensen@im.uu.se

Date: Thu, 13 Oct 2016 21:47:29 +0100

Delivered-To: mark.anthony.taylor@gmail.com

Message-ID: <CANCCXD7ofyV6xj_GLc0YLgTPDvw-uhXwW8qwU8mrGtEFhAGqgA@mail.gmail.com>

Subject: notice for judicial corruption in lawsuit Gina Miller vs government for Brexit

From: "TheAbstraction ." <mark.anthony.taylor@gmail.com>

To: correspondence@attorneygeneral.gsi.gov.uk

Cc: jeremy.lefroy.mp@parliament.uk, David Davis

<david.davis.mp@parliament.uk>, leader@labour.org.uk, Civil Appeals - CMSA <civilappeals.cmsA@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk>

Content-Type: multipart/mixed; boundary=f403045e387ed6ee81053ec537a9

--f403045e387ed6ee81053ec537a9

Content-Type: multipart/alternative; boundary=f403045e387ed6ee7b053ec537a7

--f403045e387ed6ee7b053ec537a7

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=UTF-8

Dear Sirs,

Please find attached a letter *brexit.pdf *for

Rt Hon David Davis MP

Rt Hon Jeremy Wright MP

Gina Miller

Sir Terence Etherton

Lord Justice Sales

Rt Hon Jeremy Lefroy MP

Rt Hon Jeremy Corbyn MP

Lord Justice Sales and Sir Terence Etherton were mailed via the CivilAppeals web address. I would kindly ask that the clerk forward this message on to them as I do not have their individual email addresses.

The letter informs the claimants, the defendant and a judge involved of pro EU bias in the courts of law, proven by an ICO disclosure earlier today.

A second attachment nail.in.the.coffin.pdf was posted this morning to the Lord Chancellor and contains elaboration of the ICO result and its implications.

--

Mark Anthony Taylor

--f403045e387ed6ee7b053ec537a7
Content-Type: text/html; charset=UTF-8
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

<div dir=3D"ltr">Dear Sirs,<div>=C2=A0 =C2=A0 =C2=A0 =C2=A0 =C2=A0 =C2=A0 P=
lease find attached a letter brexit.pdf for</div><div>
</div><div=
>Rt Hon
David Davis MP</div><div>Rt Hon
Jeremy Wright MP</div><div>Gina
Miller</div><div>Sir
Terence Etherton</div><div>Lord
Justice Sales</div><div>Rt Hon
Jeremy Lefroy MP</div><div>Rt Hon
Jeremy Corbyn MP</div><div>
</div><div>Lord Justice Sales and Sir Terenc=
e Etherton were mailed via the CivilAppeals web address. I would kindly ask=
that the clerk forward this message on to them as I do not have their indi=
vidual email addresses.</div><div>
</div><div>The letter informs the cla=
imants, the defendant and a judge involved of pro EU bias in the courts of =
law, proven by an ICO disclosure earlier today.</div><div>
</div><div>A =
second attachment nail.in.the.coffin.pdf was posted this morning to the Lor=
d Chancellor and contains elaboration of the ICO result and its implication=
s.
<div>
</div>--
<div class=3D"m_-8497981117497661304gmail_signa=
ture">Mark Anthony Taylor
</div>
</div></div>

--f403045e387ed6ee7b053ec537a7--
--f403045e387ed6ee81053ec537a9
Content-Type: application/pdf; name="nail.in.the.coffin.pdf"
Content-Disposition: attachment; filename="nail.in.the.coffin.pdf"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: base64
X-Attachment-Id: f_iu8svf8b0

--f403045e387ed6ee81053ec537a9
Content-Type: application/pdf; name="brexit.pdf"
Content-Disposition: attachment; filename="brexit.pdf"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: base64
X-Attachment-Id: f_iu8svkrol

--f403045e387ed6ee81053ec537a9--

. Appendix II

MIME-Version: 1.0
Received: by 10.176.82.138 with HTTP; Thu, 25 Aug 2016 15:29:06 -0700 (PDT)
Date: Thu, 25 Aug 2016 23:29:06 +0100
Delivered-To: mark.anthony.taylor@gmail.com
Message-ID: <CANCCXD5Fi_eL0Hx7sB=TvqdFn7EN3VAg-f00AwPx3_hCM_PksA@mail.gmail.com>
Subject: letter for Lord Chief Justice, amongst others
From: "TheAbstraction ." <mark.anthony.taylor@gmail.com>
To: headoffice@jaco.gsi.gov.uk
Cc: mayt@parliament.uk, Elizabeth Truss <elizabeth.truss.mp@parliament.uk>, jeremy.lefroy.mp@parliament.uk, Civil Appeals - CMSA <civilappeals.cmsA@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk>, bernard.hogan-howe@met.police.uk, David Davis <david.davis.mp@parliament.uk>, general.queries@justice.gsi.gov.uk, taylor.hewes@mail.house.gov
Content-Type: multipart/mixed; boundary=94eb2c0bdf9807a488053aecedbd

--94eb2c0bdf9807a488053aecedbd
Content-Type: multipart/alternative; boundary=94eb2c0bdf9807a483053aecedbb

--94eb2c0bdf9807a483053aecedbb
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=UTF-8

Dear Sirs/Madams,

Please find attached a letter for the Lord Chief Justice and for the head of JACO, Paul Kernaghan. It was mailed to general.queries@justice.gsi.gov.uk so that it can be forwarded on to both Elizabeth Truss, the Lord Chancellor, and the Lord Chief Justice.

Copies also go to members of Parliament, members of the US congress and Sir Bernard Hogan Howe.

The material provides proof that Deutsche Bank was able to cover up money laundering to Russia by relying up the corrupted verdicts of three mischievous judges in the British courts - two of whom need to follow the first and be stripped of office.

I would ask that this letter also be forwarded to Lord Neuberger, Lord Mance, Lord Reed, Lord Carnwath and Lord Hodge who are involved in lawsuits between banks and HMRC and who may need this information to properly assess such lawsuits. I shall be attempting to send them each a copy of the letter directly.

Sincere regards,
Mark Anthony Taylor

--94eb2c0bdf9807a483053aecedbb
Content-Type: text/html; charset=UTF-8
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

<div dir=3D"ltr">Dear Sirs/Madams,<div>=C2=A0 =C2=A0 Please find attached a letter for the Lord Chief Justice and for the head of JACO, Paul Kernaghan=. It was mailed to=C2=A0general.queries@justice.gsi.gov.uk so that it can be forwarded on to both Elizabeth Truss, the Lord Chancellor, and the Lord Chief Justice.</div><div>
</div><div>Copies also go to members of Parliament, members of the US congress and Sir Bernard Hogan Howe.</div><div>
</div><div>The material provides proof that Deutsche Bank was able to cover up money laundering to Russia by relying up the corrupted verdicts of three mischievous judges in the British courts - two of whom need to follow the first and be stri=

pped of office.</div><div>
</div><div>I would ask that this letter also =
be forwarded to=C2=A0Lord Neuberger, Lord Mance, Lord Reed , Lord Carnwath =
and Lord Hodge who are involved in lawsuits between banks and HMRC and who =
may need this information to properly assess such lawsuits. I shall be atte=
mpting to send them each a copy of the letter directly.<br clear=3D"all"><d=
iv>
</div>Sincere regards,
<div class=3D"gmail_signature" data-smartm=
ail=3D"gmail_signature">Mark Anthony Taylor
</div>
</div></div>

--94eb2c0bdf9807a483053aecedbb--

--94eb2c0bdf9807a488053aecedbd

Content-Type: application/pdf; name="bafin.money.laundering.pdf"

Content-Disposition: attachment; filename="bafin.money.laundering.pdf"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: base64

X-Attachment-Id: f_isawb1s50

--94eb2c0bdf9807a488053aecedbd--

Appendix III – Original FOIA request

Kalamata
Billington Lane
Derrington
Stafford
ST18 9LR

Email: mark.anthony.taylor@gmail.com

4 November 2016

Phone 01785 248865

Freedom of Information Act Request
regarding
Function of High Court and Supreme Court
in Gina Miller's lawsuit

Dear Sirs of the MoJ,

there seem to be some irregularities with regard to the Brexit lawsuit, headed by Baron Thomas, the Lord Chief Justice. I demand information under the Freedom of Information Act so that the public can properly assess the integrity of all parties involved in the lawsuit. For this reason this letter and all related correspondence will be put into the public domain.

1. I have sent correspondence by email and by post to Sir Terence Etherton and Lord Justice Sales informing them that Baron Thomas and Jeremy Wright had both conspired to hide Deutsche Bank's money laundering and gold price manipulation from public scrutiny. I would like the MoJ to confirm whether or not they two judges received the letters by post, and to confirm whether or not the email copies reached them too.
2. Baron Thomas had received a number of letters from me informing them that Deutsche Bank were found guilty of money laundering by BaFin and the FCA, and had settled for gold price manipulation in New York lawsuit under Valerie E Caproni. I demanded in my letters to him to know whether or not Judge Ian Burnett, the appeal judge for lawsuit BM40B021, in which these allegations had been made, and dismissed as 'totally without merit.' had a transcript of hearing. Baron Thomas refused to answer, and eventually the ICO (RFA0626028) confirmed that no transcript exists. This appears to me a breach of the Data Protection Act, for which *only* the Lord Chancellor can grant immunity. Can you confirm whether Elizabeth Truss, the Lord Chancellor, has given immunity to Baron Thomas for this breach?
3. Recently HMRC petitioned the SFO (ref 9559) to prosecute Deutsche Bank for tax evasion via its money laundering and audit fabrication, for the sum of \$10 billion illicitly transferred from Russian to London – the money laundering exposed by New Yorker magazine. The SFO refused to act. The matter was sent to Bob Neil of the Justice Committee, who refused to review the decision – even though it is the duty of the Justice Committee to supervise the SFO in many regards. Can you confirm whether Jeremy Wright, the Attorney General, was instrumental in the SFO's decision? Did the Attorney General order the SFO not to investigate Deutsche Bank for money laundering and gold price manipulation? If not, who was the party responsible for that decision?
4. Paul Kernaghan of the JACO was informed by email that Marie Bancroft Rimmer of the Court of Appeal had violated the duties of her office by lobbying to prosecute Nigel Farage for his Brexit poster campaign. Is it now acceptable for judges to act as prosecutors? Was Marie Bancroft Rimmer disciplined for her transgressions?

5. The four heads of the Supreme Court, including Lord Neuberger were informed by me by email that Deutsche Bank had misled the High Court and Court of Appeal in BM40B021, having denied gold price manipulation, and then going on to settle for the same allegations in New York. Can you confirm whether they received my emails and postal correspondence that informed them of such which demanded they reopen my appeals? Can you confirm whether they intend to re-open the appeals?
6. Many of my letters were cross posted to the Prime Minister, Theresa May. Can you confirm whether she understood the allegations against the Lord Chief Justice, and the Attorney General prior to the hearing of the Brexit lawsuit?
7. A Civic Restraining Order – 'the CRO'- was issued against me on application by HSBC to prevent me suing Deutsche Bank for gold price manipulation. With HSBC being incriminated by Deutsche Bank for gold price manipulation in its New York settlement, the question is whether the office of the Attorney General, who handles CROs, handled HSBC's application.
8. Deutsche Bank funds *Common Purpose Germany*. Can the MoJ confirm whether any of its Court of Appeal or Supreme Court judges received any benefits from Common Purpose, including buffets, training courses or travel expenses in the last three years?
9. Does the Lord Chief Justice, the Attorney General of the Lord Chancellor deny the allegations of any of the materials posted on my website www.shyreman.com.

Yours sincerely
Mark Anthony Taylor

Appendix IV

Case 1:14-md-02573-VEC Document 116 Filed 04/13/16 Page 1 of 1



New York
White Plains Plaza
One North Broadway
White Plains, NY 10601-2310

914-997-0500 Telephone
914-997-0035 Fax

www.lowey.com

Pennsylvania
Four Tower Bridge
200 Barr Harbor Drive, Suite 400
West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2977

610-941-2760 Telephone
610-862-9777 Fax

April 13, 2016

Via ECF

The Honorable Valerie E. Caproni
U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York
Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse
40 Foley Square, Room 443
New York, NY 10007

Re: *In re London Silver Fixing, Ltd. Antitrust Litigation*, No. 14-MD-2573 (VEC)

Dear Judge Caproni:

As counsel for Plaintiffs, we are pleased to report that Plaintiffs and Defendants Deutsche Bank AG; Deutsche Bank Americas Holding Corporation, DB U.S. Financial Markets Holding Corporation, Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc.; Deutsche Bank Trust Corporation, Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas; Deutsche Bank AG New York Branch (collectively, "Deutsche Bank") have executed a binding settlement term sheet today in the above-referenced action.

Plaintiffs and Deutsche Bank are in the process of negotiating the formal terms of a settlement agreement which will supersede the term sheet. The settlement agreement will be provided to Your Honor as part of Plaintiffs' anticipated motion for preliminary approval of the settlement.

In addition to valuable monetary consideration, Deutsche Bank has also agreed to provide cooperation to Plaintiffs, including the production of instant messages and other electronic communications, as part of the settlement. In Plaintiffs' estimation, the cooperation to be provided by Deutsche Bank will substantially assist Plaintiffs in the prosecution of their claims against the non-settling defendants.

Deutsche Bank intends to file a notice withdrawing its pending motion to dismiss prior to the argument on April 18. We are available to address any questions Your Honor may have.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Vincent Briganti
Lowey Dannenberg Cohen & Hart, P.C.

/s/ Robert Eisler
Grant Eisenhofer, P.A.

cc: Counsel of Record (via ECF)

Appendix V
Copy of facebook page sent to Paul Kernaghan of JACO
showing
Master (Judge) Marie Bancroft Rimmer of the Court of Appeal
lobbying to prosecute
Nigel Farage

Marie Bancroft-Rimmer

Timeline Recent

Add Friend

Sponsored

Clash of Kings
★★★★★ Clash of Kings
Welcome to the world of Clash of Kings! Join us and FIGHT LIKE A KING!
Play Now - 1,000,000 people play this

Chat

Jens Schöbel	
Adam Bishop	14h
Hayley Bishop	12h
Stefania Altavilla	57m
Minna li	1d

Q Search

Marie Bancroft-Rimmer posted from Change.org

Marie Bancroft-Rimmer
28 June at 22:16 · Change.org ·

BREAKING POINT
The EU has failed us all!

We must break free of the EU and take back control of our borders. [Leave the European Union](#) 5 days ago

Prosecute Nigel Farage under the Racial and Religious Hatred Act 2006 - Sign the Petition!

8,951 signatures are still needed!

MARIE BANCROFT-RIMMER JUST SIGNED THIS PETITION ON CHANGE.ORG.

Share

3