

Mark Anthony Taylor
Kalamata
Billington Lane
Derrington
Stafford
ST18 9LR
Phone: 01785 248865
Email: mark.anthony.taylor@gmail.com
19 August 2017

To:

Parliamentarians of the *Financial Markets and Services Group*

Regarding:

Compromise of Parliament & Judiciary by Jes Staley & Jeffrey Epstein

Ladies & Gentlemen,

Please consider the results of a FOIA request F17-296 to Parliament ,

'Dear House of Commons,

In the late Autumn of 2015 Jes Staley became CEO of Barclays. The Daily Mail on the 28th October 2015 reports the Treasury Select Committee were due to grill Staley on his connections to Jeffrey Epstein. So I would like to know the Q&A, in transcript or recorded form of that session, if it existed.'

Lauren Puckey (IRIS officer), responded:

'This information is not held by the House of Commons. The Treasury Committee did not hold an evidence session with Jes Staley, therefore transcripts of the event do not exist. '

The response of the FOIA implies MPs lied to the Daily Mail and its readership about their intent to interview Jes Staley for his appointment as CEO of Barclays. Staley is listed in Epstein's Black Book, along with entries for David Cameron and for Tony Blair. Cameron was in power as PM at the time of publication of the DM article.

Staley was in an executive position of JP Morgan and won the role of CEO at Barclays as a result of lobbying from Jeffrey Epstein, the infamous paedophile and child trafficker, running 'Paedophile Island' and 'Lolita Express' – which is covered by the DM. According to the DM Epstein had threatened Britain with repercussions if it did not appoint Staley.

So one should have expected Parliament to have scrutinized every detail of his relationship with Jeffrey Epstein. Not an ugly naked cover-up.

Here is a progression of facts that Parliament could have covered, but did not:

Epstein seems to have been leading a children's' charity in the USA called the Robin Hood Foundation (aka Robin Hood Project). Quite obviously a child trafficker does not get involved with a children's charity for the benefit of the children. RHF is known to fund legal fees for immigrants from Haiti to the USA, which we can assume often causes child abandonment in that country. Now Epstein was also a friend of Bill & Hillary Clinton, having provided Bill with Lolita Express services on a number of occasions. Bill Clinton is known to have lobbied for reduced charges for the prosecution of child kidnappers in Haiti. The kidnappers seem to be involved with the Clinton

Foundations' activities in Haiti. The Clinton Foundation is accused of raising vast amounts of money for charities in Haiti, but not actually spending any of it, other than running PR stunts.

So there is the real possibility that the Robin Hood Foundation is liaising with the Clinton Foundation in fraud, and, more seriously, to create Haitian orphans, that are then trafficked for child prostitution, rape and murder.

Guess who is an executive for the Robin Hood Foundation now – one Jes Staley. Staley continued to donate to the Clinton Foundation and the Clinton Global Initiative even after Bill Clinton's activities in Haiti were publicly exposed.

The Clinton Foundation was shown by Wikileaks for taking money from the same sources who fund ISIS – Saudi Arabia and Qatar. Tellingly, the Saudi Royal Family is listed in Epstein's Black Book.

Now, as widely reported, Qatar were lent money by Barclays to buy up Barclays' own shares – and the SFO went on to arrest Barclays' executives. But Staley got away scot-free. Even though the FCA showed Staley was covering up bank frauds from his own board, he is still CEO. Staley, as a man of intelligence, and with the information, would be in a position to know that the Clintons are funded by Qatar, and that his own bank was vulnerable to blackmail from Qatar – for its share-price rigging frauds - and yet Staley was instrumental ordering Barclays to fund the Clintons. He did not seem to have the acumen, or should that be - the moral sensibility - to distance himself from anything that Qatar funds. It is the equivalent of finding out one's brother works for the Italian Mafia, and then going on to donate to a Sicilian Casino – donating other people's money.

If you put these pieces together you can see Staley is Jeffrey Epstein's Heir, the Haitian Trafficking Kingpin – and he is using Barclays' money to facilitate the paedophile ring.

Now Qatar has its own gold trading operations, and ISIS is known to use gold to trade between oil and munitions. Qatar being a supporter of ISIS would need a source of cheap gold. Ergo Barclays, vulnerable to blackmail.

Barclays is incriminated by Deutsche Bank for gold rigging. In UK lawsuit B40BM021 Barclays put its trust entirely in Deutsche Bank's gold audits, even though Deutsche Bank refused to supply any evidence for those audits, and even though DB issued a bare denial, even though its own defence counsel, Emma Slatter, refused to attend its own oral hearing for cross-examination. Deutsche Bank are also thought to have incriminated Barclays in *London Silver Fixing Ltd. Antitrust Litigation, 1:14-md-02573 U.S. District Court, Southern District of New York (Manhattan)* – though I do not have a paper trail for this assertion at this time. The information was given to me in an oral communication, and I have no reason to doubt it.

Barclays was obviously involved with Deutsche Bank's gold rigging, and Deutsche Bank is part owned by Qatar. DB's settlement was for suppressing the price of precious metals, and Qatar has a demand for cheap bullion sourced from the West at rigged prices and was in a position to blackmail Barclays and Deutsche Bank to get it.

Staley, having avoided scrutiny from Parliament, contrary to what was told to the Daily Mail, is executive to two banks that gave exactly the same defence in B40BM021, putting their trust entirely in Deutsche Bank's audits – which we know to be fake, given its disclosures incriminating HSBC and UBS in New York. Staley has to know about the gold rigging, fake audits and perjury in the Birmingham High Court. He was emailed on all the matters some time ago, and Deutsche Bank's settlement is public domain and well known.

Parliament, especially the Treasury Select Committee, seems complicit in a blackmail scam, run by Qatar, to source ISIS with cheap gold. One would expect Deutsche Bank to destroy its Over-The-Counter trading receipts if it was involved, and to have trouble proving the existence of its receipts if challenged. One would expect other banks, involved with the scam, not to care that a competing business in the same market, had destroyed receipts. This is exactly what happened in B40BM021.

The Lord Chief Justice, Baron Thomas, was informed on the 7th of March 2016, that Deutsche Bank got away with not having to admit or deny having OTC receipts, not having to provide evidence for its audits, when the evidence showed audits had to be fake, and that defendants refused to turn up for their own oral hearing. When he was asked whether a transcript of hearing for B40BM021 existed. he refused to answer.

Twenty-Four hours after Thomas was so informed, Sir Ian Burnett closed appeals and issued two court orders ordaining that allegations of gold rigging were 'totally without merit.' Within a few months Deutsche Bank settled, thus showed that Burnett and Thomas were complicit in a money laundering scam, market rigging, conspiracy to pervert the course of justice and perjury.

Now we know why - Staley, heir to Jeffrey Epstein, enjoying practical immunity to most serious frauds, was in a position to blackmail David Cameron, and so force the Lord Chancellor to compromise the Lord Chief Justice. Successive Lord Chancellors – Truss and Lidington - stonewalled Thomas' Data Protection Act violations which covered up Deutsche Bank's frauds.

Even today, Judge Martin McKenna, of the Birmingham Mercantile Court, is refusing to acknowledge that Deutsche Bank have settled and incriminated co-defendants. **Staley has written an email with intent to sabotage the lawsuit against Deutsche Bank.** We can presume he will use his paedophile connections to lobby to McKenna directly and play the game that Deutsche Bank never settled.

Where are the prosecutions for perjury? Where is the investigation for money laundering? Your own Attorney General, Jeremy Wright MP, blocked an SFO investigation into Deutsche Bank's gold rigging and money laundering (#9559). Is Wright part of the Westminster Paedophile Ring?

Bob Neil MP, a member of your group, refused to challenge the SFO and FCA when he was shown proof that both organizations had covered up Deutsche Bank's fake audits back in 2014. Do you think he is a fit man to have any sort of political power?

Two court orders exist with Sir Ian Burnett's name & signature on them. They are stamped with the Court of Appeal's seal. Ref: A2/2015/3933 & A2/2015/2818. You should be asking him why he has not revoked those of his own volition. You should be asking for him to stand down. Anyone with any balls facing him before a jury can quote those and show the court for the corrupt clown he is. As the next Lord Chief Justice he will be involved in a lot of executives decisions, some involving paedophilia and bank frauds, and they will be all discredited by those orders.

LATE NEWS

After writing the first draft of this document above, I discovered Robert Downey Junior (the actor), was alleged to have exposed the sexual activities of Diana Jenkins. Diana Jenkins was the wife of Anthony Jenkins, former CEO of Barclays, who now is being prosecuted for his role in the Qatar share buy-back scandal In the article from neonnettle.com Mrs Jenkins was said to be involved at Barclays running the Arab investors. She is accused of running a paedophile ring, prostitution and human trafficking, to be involved with the Clinton Foundation and to have supplied

sexual services for the Arabs.

It should be fairly trivial for Parliament to identify whether she, her husband, or Barclays contributed to the Clinton Foundation when her husband was CEO. I would expect Parliament to want to grill her and her husband for these allegations – to establish whether Qatar was either being blackmailed, or doing the blackmailing, when it was lent money from Barclays.

If the allegations are true, then it is entirely reasonable to suppose that the pre-condition for being CEO at Barclays is involvement with an elite paedophile ring. Parliaments failure to scrutinize either CEO when it has the CIA, FBI, MI-5, MI-6, NSA and GCHQ working for it renders any claim of innocence as implausible.

I think we now know for sure why the SFO, the FCA and the Attorney General all covered up Deutsche Bank gold rigging and money laundering. If the CEOs of the banks have been groomed into their position, so the heads of the regulators have to be so compromised. With the judiciary actively involved allowing banks to escape frauds, while Truss and Thomas were covering up child rape, as a result of the judiciary relaxing punishments against paedophiles – as exposed by the Daily Mail - there is every reason to suppose that Thomas and Burnett did what they did for sexual favours.

Yours sincerely
Mark Anthony Taylor