

Kalamata  
Billington Lane  
Derrington  
Stafford  
ST18 9LR

Email: [mark.anthony.taylor@gmail.com](mailto:mark.anthony.taylor@gmail.com)

7 March 2015

**An open letter to the Lord Chief Justice of England and Wales,  
and the Ombudsman of the JCIO  
regarding  
failure of the Court of Appeal  
to acquire transcript proving judicial corruption and collusion with defendants  
in a case of precious metal price market manipulation**

Dear Lord Thomas,

First, I wish to thank your office for its reply to me regarding the conduct of the Birmingham High Court judges and the conduct of the JCIO in the serious matters of a precious metal price manipulation lawsuit – the defendants of which have all been found guilty of related manipulation by the FCA and similar agencies.. I attach a copy of the reply in the email version of this letter, for the case that the reply is unknown to you or is inconsistent with your office's own records.

I am sorry to burden your office with something that should be the jurisdiction of the Court of Appeal, but it appears to me the court is obstructing the lawful course of the appeal. On the 18<sup>th</sup> Feb 2016 I sent a request to the court by email asking for a copy of the court transcript for the 16<sup>th</sup> July 2015 hearing in the Birmingham High Court (sat by judge Simon Brown QC, and reference A2/2015/2818). The transcript was first requested shortly after I filed the appeal in the summer of 2015. I have not received a reply from the Court of Appeal to this date.

As stated in the previous letter, the July hearing was followed by a September hearing sat by Judge Haddon-Cave. The transcript for that latter hearing has been delivered to me, yet the transcript of the hearing that occurs months earlier was not. Since the grounds for appeal against the first hearing involves some twenty points of misconduct against the judge, and the evidence supplied in the transcript, the natural suspicion is that the transcript was in some way compromised and the failure of the Court of Appeal to notify me of the status of that transcript is nonfeasance.

I would thus ask your office to ensure that the Court of Appeal does its lawful duty and deliver to me the court transcript, or at least notify me of the status on that transcript.

In the second hearing, for the application made in September 2015 Judge Haddon Cave deemed my allegations 'scurrilous'. Now if this was an honest opinion, he would have had to study the transcript of the July hearing, otherwise such a verdict is baseless and an act of misconduct. Can the Court of Appeal verify whether Judge Haddon-Cave had the transcript? If he did, then there is no reason why I should not have that transcript month ago – before the second hearing. And if not he patently made a judgement in a serious case of fraud while knowingly being ignorant of the essential evidence documents. The appeal documents specifically say that all the evidence of misconduct by Judge Simon Brown QC can correctly be inferred from the events in the hearing, as should have been recorded in the transcript.

When the JCIO refused to study the transcript, when I made it clear it proved bias and bigotry, that suggests conspiracy to withhold that transcript. Nicholas Rose had three months to look at it, and did nothing. Any independent observer, an impartial jurist, would draw the obvious inference, that the defendant banks have used financial means to systematically undermine market manipulation lawsuits. Why should I not infer that they have bribed both judges at the Birmingham High Court, then gone on ensure the transcript for the court hearing never materializes.

To summarize we need to know:

- 1) Whether a transcript was transcribed after I submitted the request to the Court of Appeal for transcription at the public expense, and, if so, at what date the court took receipt of that transcript.
- 2) Whether Judge Haddon-Cave deemed my allegations 'scurrilous' without having access to the transcript.
- 3) Why the Court of Appeal has not delivered the transcript when it has delivered the transcript of the subsequent hearing without significant delay.
- 4) Why the Court of Appeal has not told me the status of the transcript.
- 5) Whether Nicholas Rose was in a position to know the status of the transcript when he refused to study it.
- 6) Whether the Ombudsman of the JCIO in receipt of Nicholas Rose's report knew the status of the transcript when Nicholas Rose refused to study it.

I will also address this letter directly to the Ombudsman, as I have no indication that the JCIO has reacted to my previous allegations.

Yours sincerely,  
Mark Anthony Taylor

I believe everything in this document is true, and no serious distortions have occurred by anything that has been omitted.

N.B When delivered in postal form, this document should carry an ink signature.